



Efficacy of herbicides for weed control in aerobic rice

K. Ramachandiran* and R. Balasubramanian

Department of Agronomy, Agricultural College and Research Institute, Madurai, Tamil Nadu 625 104

Received: 15 September 2011; Revised: 1 May 2012

Key words: Aerobic rice, Herbicides, Weed control efficiency, Weed density

In the 21st century along with population pressure, the scarcity of agricultural land, water and shortage of labour maintained pressure for a shift towards direct seeding methods in rice cultivation (Mortimer *et al.* 2005). Aerobic rice systems, wherein the crop is established through direct seeding in non-puddled, non-flooded fields, are among the most promising approaches for saving water (Bhushan *et al.* 2007). Weeds pose a serious threat to the direct seeded aerobic rice by competing for nutrients, light, space and moisture throughout the growing season (Hussain *et al.* 2008). Samar Singh *et al.* (2008a) reported that in aerobic direct seeded rice, loss of grain yield due to weed competition ranged from 38 to 92%. Therefore, the present investigation was undertaken to find out the efficacy of new generation herbicides for broad-spectrum weed control over traditional recommended herbicide in aerobic rice.

Field experiment was conducted at Agricultural College and Research Institute, Madurai during Rabi 2010-2011. The investigation was carried out on weed management in aerobic rice with 12 treatments under randomized block design (RBD) with three replications. The test variety of rice was 'ADT 47'. The weed management treatments imposed were pre-emergence pyrazosulfuron alone (25 g/ha) on 3 DAS (T₁), pre-emergence pretilachlor-S alone (750 ml/ha) on 3 DAS (T₂), post-emergence cyhalofop butyl alone (90 ml/ha) on 25 DAS (T₃), post-emergence fenoxaprop alone (60 ml/ha) on 30 DAS (T₄), post-emergence mixture of cyhalofop butyl + (chlorimuron + metsulfuron) (90 ml + 20 g/ha) on 30 DAS (T₅), post-emergence mixture of fenoxaprop + (chlorimuron + metsulfuron) (60 ml + 20 g/ha) on 30 DAS (T₆), post-emergence azimsulfuron alone (35 g/ha) on 20 DAS (T₇), post-emergence bispyribac sodium alone (25 ml/ha) on 20 DAS (T₈), post-emergence mixture of fenoxaprop + ethoxysulfuron (60 ml + 15 g/ha) on 30 DAS (T₉), sequence application of pre-emergence oxyfluorfen and post-emergence 2,4-D (300 ml + 500 g/ha) on 30 DAS (T₁₀), two hand weeding at 15 and 35 DAS (T₁₁) and unweeded

control (T₁₂). The observations on weeds and crop yield were recorded and statistically analysed. The weed density and dry matter production (DMP) were subjected to square root transformation.

Weed flora

The predominant category of weed was broad leaved weeds followed by grasses and sedges. The weed flora mainly consisted of *Echinochloa colona*, *Panicum javanicum*, *Chloris barbata*, *Dactyloctenium aegyptium* and *Panicum repens* under grasses; *Cyperus iria* under sedges and *Cleome viscosa*, *Corchorus olerarius*, *Euphorbia hirta*, *Merremia emarginata*, *Portulaca oleracea* and *Trianthema protulacastrum* under broad leaved weeds.

Weed growth

Grass density was significantly reduced by post-emergence mixture of fenoxaprop + (chlorimuron + metsulfuron) on 30 DAS (T₆) significantly to 16.0/m². This was followed by sequence application of pre-emergence oxyfluorfen and post-emergence 2,4-D on 30 DAS (T₁₀) and post-emergence mixture of fenoxaprop + ethoxysulfuron on 30 DAS (T₉) with grass density of 18.7 and 22.0/m², respectively. But treatment T₉ was comparable with post-emergence bispyribac sodium alone on 20 DAS (T₈).

Sedge weed density was not found in post-emergence application of bispyribac sodium alone on 20 DAS (T₈) as well as sequence application of pre-emergence oxyfluorfen and post-emergence 2,4-D on 30 DAS (T₁₀). This was followed by post-emergence mixture of fenoxaprop + (chlorimuron + metsulfuron) on 30 DAS (T₆) and post-emergence mixture of fenoxaprop + ethoxysulfuron on 30 DAS (T₉) which recorded sedge weed density of 1.0 and 2.3/m², respectively.

Broad leaved weed (BLW) density was significantly reduced by post-emergence mixture of fenoxaprop + (chlorimuron + metsulfuron) on 30 DAS (T₆) to 1.00/m². This was followed by sequence application of pre-emergence oxyfluorfen and post-emergence 2,4-D on 30 DAS (T₁₀) and post-emergence mixture of fenoxaprop +

*Corresponding author: krchandiran@gmail.com

ethoxysulfuron on 30 DAS (T_9) with BLW of 1.67 and 2.67/m², respectively. Hand weeding twice recorded grass, sedge and BLW density of 28.3, 12.0 and 8.3/m², respectively. Unweeded control (T_{12}) recorded higher sedge weed density of 51.24 and 63.28/m² at 60 and 90 DAS, respectively.

Post-emergence mixture of fenoxaprop + (chlorimuron + metsulfuron) on 30 DAS (T_6) significantly lowered the total weed density to 18.00/m². This was followed by sequence application of pre-emergence oxyfluorfen and post-emergence 2,4-D on 30 DAS (T_{10}) and post-emergence mixture of fenoxaprop + ethoxysulfuron on 30 DAS (T_9). But post-emergence mixture of fenoxaprop + ethoxysulfuron on 30 DAS (T_9) was

comparable with post-emergence bispyribac sodium alone on 20 DAS (T_8).

Post-emergence mixture of fenoxaprop + (chlorimuron + metsulfuron) on 30 DAS (T_6) significantly increased the weed control efficiency (WCE). It might be due to the use of mixture of herbicides which showed broad spectrum control of weeds. This is evident from earlier result that fenoxaprop ethyl at 50 g/ha could be used as post-emergence spray for the control of grassy weeds (Samar Singh *et al.* 2008b). Another result with metsulfuron-methyl + chlorimuron-ethyl at 4 g/ha provided excellent control of broad leaved weeds and sedges (Singh and Tewari 2005). However, Purshotam Singh *et al.* (2007) recorded highest weed control efficiency with

Table 1. Effect of new herbicides on weed density, dry weight at 60 DAS and grain yield of aerobic rice

| Treatment | *Weed density (no./m ²) | | | | WCE (%) | *Weed dry matter production (kg/ha) | | | | Grain yield (kg/ha) |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|---------|-------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| | Grasses | Sedges | BLWs | Total | | Grasses | Sedges | BLWs | Total | |
| T_1 - Pre-emergence pyrazosulfuron alone on 3 DAS | 7.31 (53) | 3.81 (14) | 3.98 (15) | 9.10 (82) | 70.2 | 13.17 (173) | 3.94 (15) | 6.67 (44) | 15.24 (232) | 3795 |
| T_2 - Pre-emergence pretilachlor-S alone on 3 DAS | 7.33 (59) | 4.06 (16) | 4.38 (18) | 9.72 (94) | 66.0 | 13.43 (180) | 4.30 (18) | 8.57 (73) | 16.49 (271) | 3743 |
| T_3 - Post-emergence cyhalofop butyl alone on 25 DAS | 6.47 (41) | 4.00 (15) | 3.89 (14) | 8.48 (71) | 74.2 | 12.31 (151) | 4.06 (16) | 6.52 (42) | 14.48 (209) | 3860 |
| T_4 - Post-emergence penoxapropalonen 30 DAS | 5.46 (29) | 4.10 (16) | 4.14 (16) | 7.92 (62) | 77.4 | 8.69 (75) | 4.41 (19) | 6.74 (45) | 11.81 (139) | 4065 |
| T_5 - Post-emergence mixture of cyhalofopbutyl+chlorimuron + metsulfuron) on 30 DAS | 5.85 (34) | 3.44 (11) | 3.72 (13) | 7.67 (58) | 78.9 | 9.08 (82) | 2.92 (8) | 5.70 (32) | 11.06 (122) | 4118 |
| T_6 - Post-emergence mixture of fenoxaprop + (chlorimuron + metsulfuron) on 30 DAS | 4.06 (16) | 1.22 (1) | 1.22 (1) | 4.30 (18) | 93.5 | 6.52 (42) | 1.87 (3) | 2.92 (8) | 7.30 (53) | 4345 |
| T_7 - Post-emergence azimsulfuron alone on 20DAS | 5.08 (25) | 1.87 (3) | 3.34 (10) | 6.28 (39) | 85.9 | 8.22 (67) | 2.12 (4) | 5.05 (25) | 9.80 (96) | 5153 |
| T_8 - Post-emergence bispyribac sodium alone on 20 DAS | 4.81 (22) | 0.71 (0) | 2.20 (4) | 5.24 (27) | 90.2 | 8.09 (65) | 0.71 (0) | 4.84 (23) | 9.40 (88) | 5805 |
| T_9 - Post-emergence mixture of fenoxaprop + ethoxysulfuron on 30 DAS | 4.74 (22) | 1.68 (2) | 1.78 (2) | 5.24 (27) | 90.2 | 7.97 (63) | 2.12 (4) | 4.53 (20) | 9.33 (87) | 6278 |
| T_{10} -Pre-emergence oxyfluorfen and post-emergence 2, 4-D on 30 DAS | 4.38 (19) | 0.71 (0) | 1.47 (1) | 4.56 (20) | 92.6 | 7.84 (61) | 0.71 (0) | 4.30 (18) | 8.93 (79) | 4262 |
| T_{11} -Two hand weeding at 15 and 35 DAS | 5.37 (28) | 3.54 (12) | 2.97 (8) | 7.01 (48) | 82.4 | 8.51 (72) | 3.08 (9) | 4.95 (24) | 10.25 (105) | 4508 |
| T_{12} -Unweeded control | 11.14 (124) | 7.19 (51) | 10.12 (102) | 16.66 (276) | - | 21.94 (481) | 9.62 (92) | 21.53 (463) | 32.20 (1036) | 2105 |
| LSD (P=0.05) | 0.19 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.25 | | 0.340 | 0.10 | 0.21 | 0.42 | 357 |

*Data subjected to square root transformation; values in parentheses are original

metsulfuron-methyl 10% + chlorimuron-ethyl 10% (Almix) 8 g/ha.

Post-emergence mixture of fenoxaprop + (chlorimuron + metsulfuron) on 30 DAS (T_6) provided a broad spectrum of weed control by significantly reducing the dry weight of grass, sedge, BLW and total weeds at 60 DAS. This weed management practice (T_6) was followed by sequential application of pre-emergence oxyfluorfen and post-emergence 2,4-D on 30 DAS (T_{10}) and post-emergence mixture of fenoxaprop + ethoxysulfuron on 30 DAS (T_9). These treatments were found to be superior compared to farmers' practice of hand weeding twice and test chemical of pre-emergence application of pretilachlor (Table 1).

Visual phyto-toxicity on crop

Phyto-toxicity symptom was observed on aerobic rice at 10, 20 days after herbicide spraying and before harvest. The result on phyto-toxicity rating revealed that pre-emergence oxyfluorfen and post-emergence 2,4-D on 30 DAS (T_{10}) showed phyto-toxicity rating of 5 on 10 DAS and 4 on 20 DAS and no toxicity before harvest. This was reported earlier by Kathiresan and Manoharan (2002). All other herbicidal weed management treatments did not exhibit any phyto-toxicity symptoms at any stage of the aerobic rice (Table 2).

Economic yield

Grain yield was significantly improved by weed control treatments compared to unweeded control. Among

Table 2. Visual phyto-toxicity of aerobic rice at 10 and 20 DAS and before harvest

| Treatment | Visual phytotoxicity | | | | | |
|--|---|--------|------------------------------------|--------|-------------------|--------|
| | 10 DAS | Rating | 20 DAS | Rating | Before harvest | Rating |
| T_1 -Pre-emergence pyrazosulfuron alone on 3 DAS | No injury, normal | 0 | No injury, normal | 0 | No injury, normal | 0 |
| T_2 -Pre-emergence pretilachlor-S alone on 3 DAS | No injury, normal | 0 | No injury, normal | 0 | No injury, normal | 0 |
| T_3 -Post-emergence cyhalofop butyl alone n 25 DAS | No injury, normal | 0 | No injury, normal | 0 | No injury, normal | 0 |
| T_4 -Post-emergence penoxapropalonen 30 DAS | No injury, normal | 0 | No injury, normal | 0 | No injury, normal | 0 |
| T_5 -Post-emergence mixture of cyhalofopbutyl+chlorimuron + metsulfuron) on 30 DAS | No injury, normal | 0 | No injury, normal | 0 | No injury, normal | 0 |
| T_6 -Post-emergence mixture of fenoxaprop + (chlorimuron + metsulfuron) on 30 DAS | No injury, normal | 0 | No injury, normal | 0 | No injury, normal | 0 |
| T_7 -Post-emergence azimsulfuron alone on 20DAS | No injury, normal | 0 | No injury, normal | 0 | No injury, normal | 0 |
| T_8 -Post-emergence bispyribac sodium alone on 20 DAS | No injury, normal | 0 | No injury, normal | 0 | No injury, normal | 0 |
| T_9 -Post-emergence mixture of fenoxaprop + ethoxysulfuron on 30 DAS | No injury, normal | 0 | No injury, normal | 0 | No injury, normal | 0 |
| T_{10} -Pre-emergence oxyfluorfen and post-emergence 2,4-D on 30 DAS | Injury more persistent, recovery doubtful | 5 | Moderate injury, recovery possible | 4 | No injury, normal | 0 |
| T_{11} -Two hand weeding at 15 and 35 DAS | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T_{12} -Unweeded control | - | - | - | - | - | - |

different treatments, post-emergence mixture of fenoxaprop + ethoxysulfuron on 30 DAS (T_9) recorded significantly higher grain yield of 6278 kg/ha. Fenoxaprop + ethoxysulfuron 50 +18 g/ha at 21 DAS were found effective in reducing the weeds and improving the yield (Samar Singh *et al.* 2008b). This was followed by post-emergence bispyribac sodium alone on 20 DAS (T_8), post-emergence azimsulfuron alone on 20 DAS (T_7). Unweeded control (T_{12}) recorded very low grain yield of 2105 kg/ha which was 4173 kg/ha lesser than best treatment of post emergence mixture of fenoxaprop + ethoxysulfuron on 30 DAS (T_9) (Table 1).

Fenoxaprop at 0.06 kg/ha mixed with ethoxysulfuron at 0.015 kg/ha as post-emergence showed the lowest weed dry matter, highest weed control efficiency and higher grain yield (Tiwari *et al.* 2010). Similar results of increased yield through effective weed control were also noticed with fenoxaprop-ethyl (Lourens *et al.* 1989) and ethoxysulfuron (Hussain *et al.* 2008).

The post-emergence mixture of fenoxaprop + ethoxysulfuron on 30 DAS (T_9) in aerobic rice was the appropriate weed management practice to control broad spectrum of weed species with minimum grass, sedge, broad-leaved weed and total weed density and their dry matter production and higher weed control efficiency to obtain higher productivity in aerobic rice.

SUMMARY

Field experiment was conducted at Agricultural College and Research Institute, Madurai during *Rabi* 2010-2011 to study the efficacy of new herbicides for controlling weeds in aerobic rice. Minimum grass, sedge, broad leaved weed and total weed density and their dry matter production and higher weed control efficiency were obtained in plots receiving post-emergence mixture of fenoxaprop + (chlorimuron + metsulfuron) on 30 DAS followed by sequential application of pre-emergence oxyfluorfen and post-emergence 2,4-D on 30 DAS and post-emergence mixture of fenoxaprop + ethoxysulfuron on 30 DAS. The result on phyto-toxicity rating revealed that pre-emergence oxyfluorfen and post-emergence 2,4-D on 30 DAS showed phyto-toxicity rating of 5 on 10

DAS and 4 on 20 DAS and no toxicity before harvest. Post-emergence mixture of fenoxaprop + ethoxysulfuron on 30 DAS recorded significantly higher grain yield of 6278 kg/ha.

REFERENCES

- Bhushan L, Ladha JK, Gupta RK, Singh S, Tirol-Padre A, Saharawat YS, Pathak MGH. 2007. Saving of water and labour in rice-wheat system with no-tillage and direct seeding technologies. *Agronomy Journal* **99**: 1288–1296.
- Hussain S, Ramzan M, Akhter M and Aslam M. 2008. Weed management in direct seeded rice. *Journal of Animal and Plant Science* **18**: 2-3.
- Kathiresan G and Manoharen ML. 2002. Effect of seed rate and methods of weed control on weed growth and yield of direct-sown rice. *Indian Journal of Agronomy* **47**: 212–215.
- Lourens JH, Arceo MB and Datud FS. 1989. Fenoxaprop-ethyl (Whip) and fenoxaprop-p-ethyl (Whip-S) for grass control in direct seeded rice under rainfed conditions in the Philippines, pp. 291–301. In: *Proceedings 12th Asian Pacific Weed Science Society Conference*. Manila, Philippines.
- Mortimer M, Richs CR, Mazid M, Pandey AS and Johnson DE. 2005. Issue related to rice direct seeding in rainfed cropping systems in North-West Bangladesh. In: *Proceeding of Workshop on Direct Seeded Rice in the Rice-Wheat System of the Indo-Gangetic plains*, held at G.B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar.
- Purshotam Singh, Parmeet Singh, Rekhi Singh and Singh KN. 2007. Efficacy of new herbicides in transplanted rice under temperate conditions of Kashmir. *Indian Journal of Weed Science* **39**: 167–171.
- Samar Singh, Ladha JK, Gupta RK, Lav Bhushan and Rao AN. 2008a. Weed management in aerobic rice systems under varying establishment methods, Rice–Wheat Consortium for the Indo-Gangetic Plains, CIMMYT-India, *Crop Protection* **27**: 660–671.
- Samar Singh, Chhokar RS and Sharma RK. 2008b. Weed management in direct seeded rice. *Indian Farming* **57**(11): 7–11.
- Singh DK and Tewari AN. 2005. Effect of herbicides in relation to varying water regimes in controlling weeds in direct seeded puddled rice. *Indian Journal of Weed Science* **37**: 193–196.
- Tiwari RB, Pandey TD and Nandeha KL. 2010. Weed management studies in direct-seeded rice, p. 30. In: *Biennial Conference of Indian Society of Weed Science on Recent Advances in Weed Science Research-2010*, February 25-26, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur.