

Indian Journal of Weed Science 52(4): 331–335, 2020

Print ISSN 0253-8040



Online ISSN 0974-8164

# Weed management in wheat by pre-emergence and pre-mix post-emergence combinations of herbicides

## J.P. Deshmukh\*, S.U. Kakade, S.S. Thakare and M.S. Solanke

All India Coordinated Research Project on Weed Management, Department of Agronomy, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola, Maharashtra 444104, India \*Email: jpdagro@rediffmail.com

Article information	ABSTRACT
<b>DOI:</b> 10.5958/0974-8164.2020.00066.0	Field investigation was carried out at Akola, Maharashtra during three
Type of article: Research article	of herbicide combinations in wheat. Treatments comprised of twelve different pre-
<b>Received</b> : 19 July 2020	emergence and pre-mix combinations of post-emergence herbicides. Results
<b>Revised</b> : 26 November 2020	metsulfuron-methyl $0.06 + 0.004$ kg/ha at 35 DAS and sulfosulfuron +
Accepted : 28 November 2020	metsulfuron-methyl 0.03 + 0.002 kg/ha at 35 DAS gave higher weed control
Key words	efficiency (90 and 80%) and lower weed index (2.85 and 2.98%). These proved as effective as weed free treatment and recorded significantly higher grain yield of
Clodinafop-propargyl	4.37 and 4.36 t/ha, respectively over rest of the treatments. The highest net
Metsulfuron-methyl	monetory returns and B:C ratio (₹ 64356/ha, 3.69) were registered with application
Post-emergence	clodinafop-propargyl + metsulfuron-methyl 0.06 + 0.004 kg/ha at 35 DAS followed
Pre-mix	by sulfosulfuron + metsulfuron-methyl 0.03 + 0.002 kg/ha at 35 DAS. (₹ 62162/ha
Wheat	and 3.40).

## **INTRODUCTION**

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum*. L.) is the most widely cultivated as staple food crop of world playing crucial role in global food security by providing food to billions of people and half of the dietary protein and more than half of the calories (Meena *et al.* 2017). It is the second important food crop consumed next to rice and contributes to the extent of 25% of total food grain production of country.

In era of climate change and increasing biotic and abiotic stresses, maintaining yield up to required level is going to be formidable challenge in coming future. Productivity of the wheat depends upon several factors like crop establishment techniques, irrigation, weed management, fertilizers management and other cultural practices.Weeds are the major deterrent to the development of sustainable wheat crop production and causes enormous losses (37.0 to 57.1%) due to their interference. (Verma et al. 2015). Wheat in Rabi season is generally sown after presowing irrigation to obtain the uniform stand of the crop, but at the same time irrigation favours germination of weed seeds. Under such a situation, it is very essential to control weeds during the first 35 to 45 days after sowing. Weed competiton for longer period results into reduction of surviving tillers and the tillers bear short ears, less number of grains in comparison to crop tillers produced in weed free situation. (Rathod and Vadodaria 2004).

In wheat, chemical weed control is a preferred practice due to scarce and costly labour as well as lesser feasibility of mechanical or manual weeding. Nowadays there are many good ready-mix combinations of herbicides used for weed control in wheat and they were found effective in controlling broad spectrum weeds in wheat. Combination of sulfosulfuron + metsulfuron, clodinafop + metsulfuron and mesosulfuron + iodosulfuron has been found promising against complex weed flora. Under such situation, a suitable combination of some broad-spectrum herbicides are needed. To control diverse weed flora, application of two or more herbicides and pre-mix combination is advantageous. Hence, an attempt was made to assess the efficancy of different post-emergence herbicide combinations on weed flora, growth and yield of wheat.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted at Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola during three consecutive *Rabi* season of the year 2016-2017, 2017-18 and 2018-19. The experiment was laid out in a randomized block design with twelve treatments replicated thrice. Treatments include pendimethalin

1.0 kg/ha, sulfosulfuron 0.025 kg/ha, metribuzin 0.21 kg/ha, clodinafop 0.06 kg/ha, pendimethalin + metribuzin 1.0 + 0.175 kg/ha, pendimethalin fb sulfosulfuron 1.0 + 0.018 kg/ha, sulfosulfuron + metsulfuron 0.3 + 0.002 kg/ha, pinoxaden + metsulfuron-methyl 0.6 + 0.004 kg/ha, mesosulfuron + iodosulfuron 0.012+ 0.0024 kg/ha, clodinafoppropargyl + metsulfuron 0.06 + 0.004 kg/ha, 2 handweeding at 30 and 60 DAS and unweeded control. The soil was low in nitrogen, medium in available phosphorus and high in potassium content. Wheat variety 'AKAW-4627' was sown on 20th November, 17th November and 26th November during the year 2016-17, 2017-18 and 2018-19, respectively at 22.5 spacing with 120:60:60 NPK kg/ha. After sowing, a light irrigation was given to the crop for uniform germination and next day pre-emergence herbicides were applied. The application of herbicide was done as per the treatments with manually operated knapsack sprayer attached with a flat fan nozzle. After calibrating the sprayer, water volume used was 700 L/ha for PE and 500 L/ha for PoE.

The observations on weed density and weed biomass were taken at 30 days interval upto harvest from four randomly selected spots by using a quadrate of 50 x 50 cm from net plot area. The entire weeds inside the quadrat were uprooted and cut close to the transition of root and shoot in each plot and collected for dry matter accumulation. Then weeds were grouped as monocot species and dicot species. The samples were first dried in sun and kept in oven at 70  $\pm$  2°C. The dried samples were weighed and expressed as dry biomass  $(g/m^2)$ . Square root transformation was done for weed density and weed biomass by using the formula  $(\sqrt{x+1})$ . Weed control efficiency (WCE) and weed index was calculated by using standard formula suggested by Mani et al. (1973). Phytotoxicity symptoms due to herbicides on crop was recorded by using a visual score scale of 0-10 scale method as proposed by Rao (2000). Visual assessment of herbicide toxicity on crop was monitored 10 days after application of herbicide in respective treatment. Cost of cultivation, gross returns and benefit cost ratio for each treatment were calculated by taking into consideration of total costs incurred and returns obtained. Data on various growth and yield attributing characters were analysed as per standard procedure.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Weed flora

The experimental field was absolutely invaded with mixed population of weed flora consisting of both dicots and monocots. Among the total weeds, dicots (82%) were more prominent than monocot weeds (18%). Major dicot weed flora during Rabi season in wheat crop was dominated by Amaranthus polygamus, Euphorbia geniculata, Phyllanthus niruri, Parthenium hysterophorus, Argemone mexicana, Amaranthus viridis, Chenopodium album, Chenopodium murale. Melilotus indica. Portulaca oleracae, Mimosa pudica, Alternanthera triandra and among the monocots weeds Cyperus rotundus, Cynodon dactylon, Dinebra Arabica, Poa annua, Digitaria sanguinalis, Dinebra retroflexa and Commelina benghalensis were the weeds observed in the experimental field. Similar observations on weed flora in wheat was also reported by Khobragade and Sathawane (2014).

### **Crop phytotoxicity**

The herbicide toxicity on crop stand and growth was recorded at 10 days after application of herbicide in respective treatment by using visual score scale of 0-10. Phytotoxicity rating revealed that, at 10 DAS pre-emergence application metribuzin 0.21 kg/ha gave setback to wheat crop by causing stunting and discolouration of crop, but recovered after some days. Similar symptoms of phytotoxicity was observed in case of pendimethalin + metribuzin 1.0 +0.175 kg/ha as a pre-emergence application (Table 1). However, among the post-emergence herbicide combination of mesosulfuron-methyl + iodosulfuronmethyl showed phytotoxic effect (score 2) on wheat crop where stunting and discolouration of leaves was observed for a limited period and recovered thereafter without any effect on final yield of wheat.Similar results with regards to phytotoxicity was reported earlier by Chaudhari et al. (2017).

#### Effect on weed density and dry weight of weeds

Pooled analysis of data revealed significant reduction in all weed control treatments with respect to weed density and dry weed biomass over unweeded control as indicated in (Table 1). Highest reduction in weed density and dry matter of weeds were recorded under two hand weeding at 30 and 60 DAS (13.98 no./m<sup>2</sup> and 15.40 g/m<sup>2</sup>) due to complete removal of the weeds among the herbicides, clodinafop-propargyl + metsulfuron-methyl was found to be more superior in curtailing the weed population and dry weight of weeds (18.89 no./m<sup>2</sup>, 24.66 g/m<sup>2</sup>) followed by sulfosulfuron +metsulfuron-methyl (31.01 no./m<sup>2</sup>, 47.14 g/m<sup>2</sup>) as compared to unweeded control (Table 1). Sole application of a single herbicide was less effective in controlling weeds as compared to their pre-mix application. The tank mixtures of broad-leaf and grassy weed killing herbicides provided higher order of performance in terms of weed density and intensity of total weeds as observed by Meena *et al.* (2017). Pre-mix combination of clodinafop-propargyl + metsulfuron-methyl provided excellent control of weeds. Total weed population was reduced significantly due to various weed control treatments. This might be due to the herbicidal application alone and in combination which were effective in timely reducing total weed population. Lekh Chand and Punia (2017) and Chaudhary *et al.* (2017) also reported similar results.

### Effect on weed control efficiency and weed index

Weed control efficiency in wheat was significantly influenced by weed management treatments, where all the treatments resulted in increase of weed control efficiency over the weedy check. Highest value of weed control efficiency (92.4%) was obtained from hand weeding treatment. Amongst herbicides, maximum value of WCE was achieved by clodinafop-propargyl + metsulfuronmethyl (89.7%) followed by sulfosulfuron + metsulfuron-methyl (79.9%) application of preemergence herbicides while sole application of single herbicides registered low weed control efficiency (**Table 1**). This indicate that pre-mix herbicides have significant effect on minimizing the weed population, which resulted increased yield over control treatment. Similar results were also reported by Kumar et al. (2012) with clodinafop-propargyl + metsulfuron in wheat. The lowest weed index (2.85%) was obtained with clodinafop-propargyl + metsulfuron-methyl followed by sulfosulfuron + metsulfuron-ethyl (2.98%). Whereas yield reduction varied from 2.85% to 29.05% in the herbicide applied plots as compared to weed free treatment. Weed index was lower in all the treatments as compared to weedy check. which provided favourable conditions for crop growth which ultimately increased the grain yield of wheat crop as compared to weedy check treatment. Similar trends in weed control efficiency and weed index were also recorded.

#### Effect on growth and yield

Significant reduction in plant height was noticed in unweeded control treatment which might be due to competition between crop and weeds for soil moisture, plant nutrients, solar radiation and space during active growth period (**Table 2**). These results were in accordance with the results reported by Pradhan and Chakraborti (2010) and Kaur *et al.* (2017). Significantly the highest number of effective tillers/meter row length was recorded in two hand

 Table 1. Phytotoxicity rating, weed count, weed dry matter, weed control efficiency and weed index as influenced by different weed control treatments (pooled of three years)

Treatment		phytotoxicity al rating score	V	Weed d	lensity	/m <sup>2</sup>	Wee	ed dry	WCE	Weed		
		Effect on crop	2016- 17	2017- 18	2018- 19	Pooled	2016- 17	2017- 18	2018- 19	Pooled	(%)	(%)
Pendimethalin 1.0 kg/ha PE	0	No injury	7.15	7.18	7.08	7.14	8.34	9.65	9.73	9.24	63.22	29.05
				(51.0)	(49.6)	(50.4)	(69.1)	(92.7)	(94.2)	(85.3)		
Sulfosulfuron 0.025 kg/ha PoE at 35 DAS	0	No injury	6.05	6.04	5.91	6.00	7.02	6.98	8.12	7.37	76.88	14.83
			(36.2)	(36.0)	(34.4)	(35.5)	(48.8)	(48.3)	(65.5)	(54.2)		
Metribuzin 0.21 kg/ha PE	1	Slight stunting	, 7.45	7.45	7.24	7.38	8.54	8.51	9.95	9.00	65.46	18.97
		discoloration	(55.0)	(55.0)	(51.9)	(54.0)	(72.5)	(72.0)	(98.6)	(81.0)		
Clodinafop 0.06 kg/ha PoE at 35 DAS	0	No injury	6.52	6.52	6.42	6.49	7.83	7.82	8.82	8.16	71.61	15.37
			(42.0)	(42.0)	(40.7)	(41.5)	(60.8)	(60.7)	(77.3)	(66.3)		
Pendimethalin + metribuzin 1.0 + 0.175 kg/ha	1	Slight stunting	, 7.29	7.29	7.07	7.22	8.49	8.63	9.72	8.95	65.80	21.34
(tank mix) PE		discoloration	(52.7)	(52.7)	(49.5)	(51.6)	(71.7)	(74.0)	(94.0)	(79.9)		
Pendimethalin <i>fb</i> sulfosulfuron $1.0 + 0.018$	0	No injury	6.65	6.67	6.55	6.62	7.44	7.47	9.01	7.97	73.03	6.30
kg/na PE and POE	0	NT · ·	(43.8)	(44.0)	(42.4)	(43.4)	(54.8)	(55.3)	)(80.7)	(63.6)	70.00	2 00
Sulfosulfuron + metsulfuron-methyl $0.03$ +	0	No injury	5.68	5.64	5.51	5.61	6.56	6.51	7.57	6.88	/9.88	2.98
0.002 kg/ha PM at 35 DAS as PoE			(31.8)	(31.3)	(29.9)	(31.0)	(42.6)	(42.0)	(56.8)	(47.1)		
Pinoxaden + metsulfuron-methyl 0.06 +	0	No injury	6.60	6.59	6.50	6.56	7.47	6.66	8.93	7.69	74.85	10.29
0.004 kg/ha PM at 35 DAS as PoE			(43.1)	(43.0)	(41.7)	(42.6)	(55.3)	(44.3)	(79.3)	(59.7)		
Mesosulfuron-methyl + iodosulfuron-methyl	2	Stunting &	6.25	6.23	6.01	6.16	7.05	7.03	8.26	7.45	76.44	7.89
0.012 + 0.0024 kg/ha PM at 35 DAS as PoE		discoloration	(36.6)	(38.3)	(35.7)	(36.9)	(49.2)	(49.0)	(67.8)	(55.3)		
Clodinafop-propargyl + metsulfuron-methyl	0	No injury	4.47	4.45	4.29	4.40	4.52	4.52	5.87	4.97	89.66	2.85
0.06 + 0.004 kg/ha PM at 35 DAS as PoE			(19.5)	(19.3)	(17.9)	) (18.9)	(20.0)	(20.0)	(34.0)	(24.7)		
Two hand weeding – (30 and 60 DAS)	-	-	3.87	3.80	3.72	3.80	3.37	3.23	5.08	3.89	92.41	0.00
			(14.6)	(14.0)	(13.3)	(14.0)	(10.9)	(10.0)	(25.3)	(15.4)		
Un-weeded control	-	-	12.52	12.51	12.25	12.43	14.49	14.48	16.88	15.28	0.00	47.54
			(156)	(156)	(150)	(154)	(210)	(209)	(284)	(234)		
LSD (p=0.05)			0.48	0.44	0.37	0.49	0.43	0.48	0.47	0.46		

Figures in parentheses are original values; PE- Pre-emergence; PoE- Post-emergence; PM- Pre-mix

weeding treatment (102.17 no./m) but remained at par with all treatments where pre-mix combination of post-emergence herbicides were sprayed *i.e.* sulfosulfuron + metsulfuron-methyl, pinoxaden + metsulfuron-methyl, mesosulfuron-methyl + iodosulfuron-methyl and clodinafop-propargyl + metsulfuron-methyl. Data on grain per spike at harvest showed significant differences among treatments and showed the similar trends as in case of other growth attributes (**Table 2**). These results in accordance with the results reported by Amare *et al.* (2014) and Kaur *et al.* (2017).

Pooled analysis of different weed control treatments registered significant increase in grain yield of wheat compared to unweeded control during all the three years of study. Two hand weeding at 30 and 60 DAS recorded highest grain yield of 4.49 t/ha. Further data explicated that collective application of herbicides either as pre-mix, tank mix or sequentially gave significantly higher yield over single applied herbicides. Among the herbicides, higher value of grain yield in individual years and in pooled data was obtained with clodinafop-propargyl + metsulfuronmethyl 0.012 + 0.0024 kg/ha at 35 DAS (4.37 t/ha.) closely followed by sulfosulfuron + metsulfuronmethyl 0.03+0.002 kg/ha at 35 DAS (4.36 t/ha). Pooled data showed that both these treatments recorded 48.74% increase in grain yield over unweeded control was due to higher growth and yield attributes due to reduced weed infestation by these treatments, which helped the crop plants to accumulate more dry matter through more nutrient uptake that might have provided more quantity of photosynthates to developing sink in crop plants resulted in more yield. Similar results of improvement grain yield and weed control has been reported by Walia *et al.* (2010) and Chaudhari *et al.* (2017) with different herbicides combinations. Next best treatments in order of merit regarding the grain yield were pinoxaden + metsulfuron-methyland mesosulfuron-methyl + iodosulfuron-methyl, which brought about 46.66 and 45.78% increase in pooled grain yield over unweeded control. The solitary application of single herbicide resulted in lesser grain yield compared to pre-mix combination of postemergence herbicides.

## **Economics of weed control**

Although, hand weeding twice at 30 and 60 DAS recorded the maximum yield and gross returns (₹ 90920/ha), but the net returns (₹ 64356/ha,) and B:C ratio (3.69) was registered in clodinafop propargyl + metsulfuron-methyl 0.06 + 0.004 kg/ha at 35 DAS followed by sulfosulfuron + metsulfuron-methyl 0.03 + 0.002 kg/ha at 35 DAS. (₹ 62162 /ha and 3.40), which was about 61.36 and 59.99% of net returns over unweeded control (**Table 3**). Thus, results clearly endorsed to better economic feasibility of treatment linked with higher production potential over unweeded control as reported earlier by Meena *et al.* (2017), Punia *et al.* (2017) and Chauhan *et al.* (2017).

It was concluded that in wheat, weeds should be controlled by the pre-mix combination of postemergence application of either clodinafoppropargyl + metsulfuron-methyl 0.06 + 0.004 kg/ha or sulfosulfuron + metsulfuron-methyl 0.03 + 0.002

		nt heig ((	ht at ha cm)	arvest	No	of effe. (no	ective t o./m)	illers	No. of grains per spike				
Treatment	2016- 17	2017- 18	2018- 19	Pooled	2016- 17	2017- 18	2018- 19	Pooled	2016- 17	2017- 18	2018- 19	Pooled	
Pendimethalin 1.0 kg/ha PE	88.98	89.43	90.80	89.74	66.43	70.00	60.10	65.51	52.92	45.73	48.13	48.17	
Sulfosulfuron 0.025 kg/ha PoE at 35 DAS	91.98	93.06	92.50	92.51	78.10	79.00	82.70	79.93	53.98	49.60	52.00	51.10	
Metribuzin 0.21 kg/ha PE	90.38	90.77	91.20	90.78	68.80	72.00	70.10	70.30	50.85	45.67	48.07	47.44	
Clodinafop 0.06 kg/ha PoE at 35 DAS	91.25	92.42	91.90	91.86	76.73	78.00	77.90	77.54	51.33	48.40	50.80	49.42	
Pendimethalin + metribuzin 1.0 + 0.175 kg/ha (tank mix) PE	89.92	90.39	89.50	89.94	68.03	76.00	67.40	70.48	51.77	45.83	48.23	47.85	
Pendimethalin <i>fb</i> sulfosulfuron $1.0 + 0.018$ kg/ha PE and PoE	92.98	93.76	92.80	93.18	85.73	85.00	85.80	85.51	53.53	48.43	50.83	50.17	
Sulfosulfuron + metsulfuron-methyl 0.03 + 0.002 kg/ha PM at 35 DAS as PoE	93.15	94.16	93.30	93.54	88.90	91.00	95.30	91.73	51.73	49.93	52.33	50.57	
Pinoxaden + metsulfuron-methyl 0.06 + 0.004 kg/ha PM at 35 DAS as PoE	92.55	92.91	91.90	92.45	89.93	80.00	96.50	88.81	52.15	48.47	50.87	49.74	
Mesosulfuron-methyl + iodosulfuron-methyl 0.012 + 0.0024 kg/ha PM at 35 DAS as PoE	91.98	91.65	91.50	91.71	83.88	82.00	84.40	83.43	51.17	48.20	50.60	49.23	
Clodinafop-propargyl + metsulfuron-methyl 0.06 + 0.004 kg/ha PM at 35 DAS as PoE	94.52	94.75	92.80	94.02	91.03	102.00	92.10	95.04	56.23	50.20	52.60	52.25	
Two hand weeding – (30 and 60 DAS)	96.05	96.97	93.90	95.64	94.52	110.00	102.00	102.17	57.77	52.47	54.87	54.28	
Un-weeded control	84.35	84.33	88.40	85.69	57.10	50.00	55.90	54.33	47.32	38.13	40.53	41.24	
LSD ( $p=0.05$ )	3.45	3.20	3.88	1.70	10.17	8.76	10.74	7.79	3.77	4.28	3.36	1.98	

	Grain yield (t/ha)					GMR (2	x10³₹/ł	na)	NMR (x10³₹/ha)				ЪG
Treatment	2016 -17	2017- 18	2018- 19	Pooled	2016- 17	2017- 18	2018- 19	Pooled	2016- 17	2017- 18	2018- 19	Pooled	B:C ratio
Pendimethalin 1.0 kg/ha PE	3.42	3.25	2.84	3.17	67.40	62.77	62.37	64.18	45.96	37.38	36.98	40.11	2.69
Sulfosulfuron 0.025 kg/ha PoE at 35 DAS	3.78	3.61	4.04	3.81	74.50	69.59	88.77	77.62	50.89	45.98	60.16	52.34	3.07
Metribuzin 0.21 kg/ha PE	3.60	3.43	3.83	3.62	70.77	66.02	84.33	73.71	47.96	41.21	55.52	48.23	2.90
Clodinafop 0.06 kg/ha PoE at 35 DAS	3.75	3.58	4.03	3.79	73.74	68.79	88.62	77.05	53.23	44.28	60.11	52.54	3.17
Pendimethalin + metribuzin 1.0 + 0.175 kg/ha (tank mix) PE	3.57	3.40	3.57	3.52	70.29	65.59	78.63	71.50	45.35	39.54	52.59	45.83	2.79
Pendimethalin <i>fb</i> sulfosulfuron 1.0 + 0.018 kg/ha PE and PoE	4.09	3.91	4.06	4.02	80.69	75.26	89.21	81.72	56.68	50.25	60.20	55.71	3.15
Sulfosulfuron + metsulfuron-methyl 0.03 + 0.002 kg/ha PM at 35 DAS as PoE	4.48	4.31	4.28	4.36	88.32	82.29	94.14	88.25	64.90	54.87	66.72	62.16	3.40
Pinoxaden + metsulfuron-methyl 0.06 + 0.004 kg/ha PM at 35 DAS as PoE	4.32	4.15	4.13	4.20	85.04	79.30	90.77	85.04	63.63	53.89	59.36	58.96	3.33
Mesosulfuron-methyl + iodosulfuron- methyl 0.012 + 0.0024 kg/ha PM at 35	4.27	4.09	4.02	4.13	84.00	78.29	88.42	83.57	62.39	52.68	58.81	57.96	3.31
Clodinafop-propargyl + metsulfuron-methyl 0.06 + 0.004 kg/ha PM at 35 DAS as PoE	4.45	4.28	4.38	4.37	87.45	81.53	96.32	88.43	66.04	56.12	70.91	64.36	3.69
Two hand weeding – (30 and 60 DAS)	4.59	4.41	4.45	4.49	90.72	84.05	97.99	90.92	65.05	54.44	68.38	62.62	3.23
Un-weeded control	2.58	2.15	2.00	2.24	50.60	43.06	44.00	45.89	32.13	20.77	21.71	24.87	2.21
LSD ( $p=0.05$ )	0.64	0.58	0.60	0.51	12.66	10.08	13.38	6.42	12.66	10.08	13.38	6.42	-

Table 3. Grain yield, gross monetary returns, net monetary returns and B:C ratio as influenced by weed control treatments in wheat (pooled of 3 years)

kg/ha at 35 DAS for getting higher yield and monetary benefits. Use of pre-mix herbicides may help in effective and eco-freindly weed management in wheat.

#### REFERENCES

- Amare T, Sharma JJ and Kassahun Zewdie. 2014. Effect of weed control methods on weeds and wheat (*Triticum* aestivum L.) yield. World Journal. of Agricultural Research 2(3): 124–128.
- Chaudhari DD, Patel VJ, Patel HK, Mishra A., Patel BD, Patel RB.2017. Assessment of pre-mix broad spectrum herbicides for weed management in wheat. *Indian Journal of Weed Science* 49: 33–35.
- Chouhan BS, Kaushik MK, Nepalia V, Solanki NS, Singh B, Devra NS, Kumawat P, Kumar A. 2017.Effect of sowing methods,scheduling of irrigation based on IW/CPE ratio and chemical weed control on plant height,dry matter accumulation and yield of wheat. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry* 6:169–172
- Katara P, Kumar S, SS Rana and N Chander. 2012. Combination of pinoxaden with other herbicides against complex weed flora in wheat. *Indian Journal of Weed Science* 44(4):225– 230.
- Khobragade DP and KN Sathwane. 2014. Weed diversity in Rabi wheat crop of Bhandara District (MS) India. *International Journal of Life Sciences*, special Issue A2.
- Khokhar AK and NepaliaV. 2010. Effect of herbicides and nutrient management on weed flora, nutrient uptake and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) under irrigated conditions. *Indian Journal of Weed Science* **42**(1&2): 14–18.
- Kumar SR, Singh, Shyam Radhey and Singh VK. 2012. Weed dynamics, nutrient removal and yield of wheat as influenced by weed management practices under valley conditions of Uttarakhand. *Indian Journal of Weed Science* 44(2): 110– 114.

- LeKh Chand and Punia R. 2017. Bio-efficacy of alone and mixture herbicides against complex weed flora in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) under sub-tropical conditions. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Science* 87: 1149–1154.
- Mani VS, Malla ML, Gautam KC, Das B.1973.Weed killing chemical in potato cultivation. *PANS* 23: 17–18.
- Meena VD, Kaushik MK, Verma A, Upadhayay B, Meena SK, Bhimwal JP.2017. Effect of herbicide and their combinations on growth and productivity of wheat under late sown condition. *International Journal of Chemical Studies* 5: 1512–1516
- Pradhan AC and Chakraborti P. 2010. Quality wheat seed production through integrated weed management. *Indian Journal of Weed Science* **42**(3&4): 159–162.
- Punia SS, Yadav DB, Kaur M, Sindhu VK. 2017. Post-emergence herbicides for the control of resistant little seed canary grass in wheat. *Indian Journal of Weed Science* 49: 15–19.
- Rao VS. 2000. Principles of Weed Science. Oxford and IBH Publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi.
- Rathodl R, and Vadodaria RP. 2004. Response of irrigation and weed management on productivity of wheat under Middle Gujarat condition. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Science* 7(3): 346–349.
- Verma SK, SB, Singh S B, Meena RN Prasad, Meena SK and Guarav RS. 2015. Review of weed management in India the need of new direction for sustainable agriculture. *The Bioscan* 10: 253–263.
- Walia US, Kaur T, Nayyar S, Singh K. 2010. Perforamance of carfentrazone-ethyl 20% + sulfosulfuron 25% WDG-A formulated herbicide for total weed control in wheat. *Indian Journal of Weed Science* 42: 155–158
- Yadav NS and Dixit Anil. 2014. Bioefficacy of some herbicides and their mixtures against complx weed flora in wheat. *Indian Journal of Weed Science* **46**(2): 180–183.