Response of Sugarcane to Weed Management Practices

N. Ramesh and A. Sundari Department of Agronomy Annamalai University, Annamalainagar-608 002 (Tamil Nadu), India

Sugarcane, being a widely spaced crop with slow initial growth, provides a congenial ambiance to weeds for their growth and development. Weeds by virtue of their competitiveness reduce sugarcane yield to the extent of 10.7 to 73.7% (Verma, 2000). The situation is further aggravated due to inaccessibility to manual hoeing owing to labour shortage and soil wetness. Thus, there is need to identify effective herbicides and also to integrate the various methods of weed control for effective and economic control of weeds.

The present investigation was carried out at Annamalai University Experimental Farm, Annamalainagar during January-November, 2004. The soil was clayey loam having pH of 7.5. Eight weed control treatments replicated thrice were laid out in randomized block design (Table 1). The sugarcane variety CO 86032 was planted. Preemergence application of herbicides (atrazine 2.0 kg ha⁻¹, metribuzin 1.5 kg ha⁻¹ and alachlor 2.0 kg ha⁻¹) was done three days after planting and postemergence application of herbicides (2, 4-D 1.0 kg ha⁻¹, glufosinate ammonia 1.0 kg ha⁻¹ and metsulfuron-methyl 20 g ha-1) was done 21 days after planting. Herbicides were applied by using hand operated knapsack sprayer fitted with flood jet nozzle with spray volume of 500 litres of water ha⁻¹. Hand hoeing treatment was imposed on 30, 60 and 90 days after planting.

The dominant weed flora of the experimental field comprised of *Cyperus rotundus* (51.73%) *Trianthema portulacastrum* (31.37%), *Cynodon dactylon* (13.21%), *Cleome viscosa* (1.86%) and *Echinochloa colona* (1.79%). Other weed species *Eclipta alba* and *Dactyloctenium aegyptium* were also present in the experimental field.

The lowest weed count, weed biomass and the highest weed control efficiency were observed with hand hoeing thrice which was significantly better than other treatments (Table 1). Among herbicides, metribuzin recorded the lowest weed count, biomass and highest weed control efficiency. Atrazine and metribuzin were on par with each other followed by glufosinate ammonia.

Thrice hand hoeing recorded the highest cane weight, millable cane and cane yield followed by metribuzin (Roshan Lal *et al.*, 2005). This increased yield may be attributed to the reduced weed population and lesser weed biomass production.

REFERENCES

Roshan Lal, S. D. Sharma and Mehar Chand, 2005. Screening of new herbicides for effective weed control in spring planted sugarcane. National Biennial Conference, ISWS, PAU, April 6-9. pp. 72-73.

Verma, R. S. 2000. Weed management in sugarcane. Tech. Bull. No. 42.11. SR, Lucknow.

Treatment	Dose	Weed d	Weed density (No. m ⁻²) 60 DAP	60 DAP	Weed	Weed control	Cane	Millable	Cane
	(kg ha ^{.1})	Cyperus rotundus	Trianthema Cynodon portulacastrum dactylon	Cynodon daetylon	biomass (g m ⁻²) 60 DAP	efficiency (%) 60 DAP	weight (kg stalk ⁻¹)	cane ('000 ha ⁻¹)	yield (t ha ^{-t})
Unweeded control	1	21.05	14.80	10.10	95.8	ı	0.80	081.6	067
Hoeing 30, 60	ł	(c++) 8.30	(219) 7.50	(102) 3.70	9.60	89.9	1.55	119.6	134
and 90 DAP		(69)	(56)	(14)					
Atrazine	2.0	·12.75	10.90	6.75	22.2	76.8	1.50	116.6	129
		(163)	(118)	(46)					
Metribuzin	1.5	11.50	8.60	5.50	22.3	76.8	1.53	118.3	131
		(132)	(74)	(30)					
Alachlor	2.0	13.70	11.75	6.80	25.4	73.4	1.40	101.3	113
		(188)	(138)	(46)					
2, 4-D	1.0	13.5	10.35	7.10	25.3	73.8	1.36	093.0	115
		(182)	(107)	(20)					
Glufosinate ammonia	1.0	12.45	9.65	6.50	23.3	75.6	1.46	109.6	126
		(155)	(63)	(42)		•			
Metsulfuron-methyl	0.020	12.65	9.86	6.90	25.0	73.9	1.40	114.0	122
		(160)	(67)	(48)					
LSD (P=0.05)		1.05	0.68	0.43	1.8	ı	0.64	5.20	5
Data in narentheses are original vialues which were transformed to V v+0.5	original value	e which were	Not bemad to	<u>> 0+v</u>					

Table 1. Effect of treatments on weed growth and cane yield

155

Data in parentheses are original values which were transformed to V x+0.5.

·