### Optimizing the surfactant dose for sulfosulfuron and ready mix combination of sulfosulfuron and carfentrazone against weeds in wheat

R.S. Chhokar, R.K. Sharma and Subhash Chander

Resource Management Unit, Directorate of Wheat Research, Karnal (Haryana) E-mail : rs chhokar@yahoo.com

### ABSTRACT

Field and pot studies were conducted to determine the efficacy of sulfosulfuron and ready mixture, sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone- 45 (25+20) WDG at different surfactant doses against complex weed flora of wheat. The weed control, particularly the grass was significantly poor, when sulfosulfuron or its ready mix combination with carfentrazone was applied without surfactant compared with surfactant. The grass (Avena ludoviciana, Echinochoa crusgalli, Phalaris minor and Polypogon monspeliensis) weed control with sulfosulfuron or ready mixture (RM) of sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone- 45 WDG was similar at surfactant doses of 625, 750 and 1250 ml/ha indicating the possibility of reducing the surfactant doses by 40-50% from the present recommendation of 1250 ml/ha in India. The optimum dose of RM, sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone was 45 (25+20) g/ha with 625-750 ml/ha surfactant. This combination was superior over sulfosulfuron, due to the control of *Rumex dentatus*, thereby increased the wheat yield by 7.6% on an overall mean basis. The RM, sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone with surfactant was similar to ready mixtures, Total (sulfosulfuron 75 + metsulfuron 5)-80 WDG at 32 (30+2) g/ha and Atlantis (mesosulfuron + iodosulfuron) at 14.4 (12+2.4) g/ha in controlling weeds and producing wheat yield. The carry over effect of sulfosulfuron involving treatments (sulfosulfuron, sulfosulfuron + metsulfuron, sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone) was observed on succeeding maize (Zea mays L.) crop. At 2X dose of sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone (50+40 g/ha), the reduction in maize biomass was 62.6-73.0% in comparison to weed free check.

Key words: Ready mixture, herbicide carryover effect, Herbicide efficacy, Polypogon monspeliensis

Chemical weed control is a preferred practice in wheat due to scarce and costly labour as well as lesser feasibility of manual weeding in broadcast sown wheat. Wheat is generally infested by both grassy as well as broad-leaved weeds (Singh et al. 1995). For the management of grass weeds like Wild oat (Avena ludoviciana Dur.), rabbitfoot grass/beard grass {Polypogon monspeliensis (L.) Desf.} and isoproturon resistant littleseed canarygrass (Phalaris minor Retz.) in northern Indian plains, three herbicides namely clodinafop, fenoxaprop and sulfosulfuron are being used (Chhokar and Malik 2002, Chhokar et al. 2008). Among these, clodinafop and fenoxaprop only control the grass weeds but sulfosulfuron controls many broad-leaved weeds also (Chhokar and Malik 2002). However, sulfosulfuron is not effective against some of the broadleaved weeds like toothed dock (Rumex dentatus L.), little mallow (Malva parviflora L.), field bind weed (Convolvulus arvensis L.), and black night shade (Solanum nigrum L.). Carfentrazone ethyl effectively controls these weeds but is not effective against grassy weeds (Chhokar et al. 2007a). Therefore, to control broadspectrum weed flora, combinations of herbicides are required (Chhokar et al. 2007b, Singh et al. 2008, Singh et al. 2011). To save application cost and time, tank mix combinations are preferred by growers compared to sequential application but pre-requisite for pre-mix combination is their compatibility. Tank mix combinations of grass herbicides (clodinafop or fenoxaprop) with broadleaved herbicides (2, 4-D or metsulfuron) are not compatible (Mathiassen and Kudsk 1998). However, carfentrazone shows good compatibility (Howatt 2005) with grass herbicides (fenoxaprop, tralkoxydim and clodinafop) compared to 2, 4-D and metsulfuron and other BLW herbicides (Singh 2009, Singh et al. 2008b, Singh et al. 2011) besides providing the control of some problematic weeds like Malva parviflora (Chhokar et al., 2007a). Carfentrazone causes some leaf injury in wheat and sulfonylurea herbicides provide safening of carfentrazone injury (Howatt 2005). So, combination of carfentrazone and sulfosulfuron can be effective for broad-spectrum weed control with good crop safety.

Surfactants have been found to reduce the dose of herbicides and increase the spectrum of weed control with

increased efficiency (Malik *et al.* 1988). Many workers (Chhokar *et al.* 2010, Green and Green 1993, Singh *et al.* 2002, Singh *et al.* 2008, Walia *et al.* 2010) have reported improvement in the efficacy of sulfonylurea herbicides with surfactant. Cationic surfactant is being used with sulfosulfuron 1250 ml/ha for more than a decade in India (Balyan *et al.* 2000, Chhokar and Malik 2002, and Chhokar *et al.* 2010). The lower dose of surfactant has not been tried with sulfosulfuron. The possibility of reducing the surfactant dose for sulfosulfuron or its combination with other herbicides needs to be explored. Besides, improving the efficacy of herbicides, surfactant may also alter the crop tolerance (Chhokar *et al.* 2010).

In today's scenario, increasing population and declining land holding have compelled the farmers to adopt intensive cropping system. Therefore, it is essential to evaluate the effect of herbicide on the succeeding crops. Summer green gram (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek.) and maize (*Zea mays* L.) are two important short duration crops that immediately follow the wheat harvest.

Keeping in view these facts, field and pot studies were conducted with the aims (1) to determine the bioefficacy of sulfosulfuron and ready-mix combination of sulfosulfuron 25% WDG + carfentrazone-ethyl 20% -45 WDG against grassy and broad-leaved weeds in wheat ; (2) to optimize the surfactant dose for sulfosulfuron and ready mixture of sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone and (3) to determine the residual effect of herbicides applied in wheat on the succeeding crops of maize and green gram.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two sets of field and four sets of pot experiments were conducted at Resource Management Block, Directorate of Wheat Research, Karnal, Haryana, India to determine the possibility of reducing the surfactant dose for sulfosulfuron alone and its RM with carfentrazone. The details of the studies done are given under following heads.

# Field study 1: Effect of surfactant on the efficacy of sulfosulfuron and ready mixture of sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone in wheat

In this study (Table 1 and 2) sulfosulfuron (Leader 75 WDG) at 25 g/ha and ready mixture of sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone 45 (25+20) WDG at 45 g/ha were evaluated without and with surfactant (625 and 1250 ml/ha). The surfactant used was cationic surfactant (Leader Mix) and spraying was done using a spray solution of 350 l/ha with knapsack sprayer fitted with flat fan nozzles. The experiment was conducted for two consecutive *rabi* seasons of 2007-08 and 2008-09 in a randomized block design with each treatment replicated thrice. Wheat variety DBW 17 was sown at a row spacing of 20 cm using

a seed rate of 100 kg/ha. The dry weight of dominant weeds was recorded at 120 DAS.

### Field study 2: Comparative performance of ready mixtures of sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone, mesosulfuron + iodosulfuron (Atlantis) and sulfosulfuron+metsulfuron (Total)

The RM of sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone 45 (25+20) WDG was evaluated at 36, 45, 54 and 90 g/ha along with recommended doses of carfentrazone 20 g, sulfosulfuron 25 g, isoproturon 1000 g, Total (sulfosulfuron + metsulfuron) 32(30+2) g/ha and Atlantis (mesosulfuron + iodosulfuron) 14.4 (12+2.4) g/ha against weeds in wheat (Table 3). The RM sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone at doses of 45 and 90 g/ha were evaluated with and without surfactant. Cationic surfactant (Leader mix) was used with sulfosulfuron, sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone and Total. Anionic surfactant, Atlantis activator (Alkyl ether sulfate) at 625 ml/ha was used with Atlantis. Surfactant rates for ready mixture of sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone were 625, 750 and 1250 ml/ha. The experiment was conducted for two consecutive rabi seasons of 2008-09 and 2009-10. Wheat varieties, PBW 343 and DBW 17 were sown on 8th Nov. 2008 and 19<sup>th</sup> Nov 2009, during first and second year, respectively, using a seed rate of 100 kg/ha.

The major weeds infesting the experimental plots were *P. minor, A. ludoviciana*, lesser swine cress {*Coronopus didymus* (L.) Sm.}, *C. arvensis*, blue pimpernel (*Anagallis arvensis* L.), *R. dentatus*, yellow sweet clover (*Melilotus indica* All.) and toothed bur clover (*Medicago denticulata* Willd.). The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with each treatment replicated thrice.

The herbicides were sprayed at 34-37 DAS with knapsack sprayer fitted with flat fan nozzles using 350 l/ha water. Fertilizer and irrigation were applied according to recommended package of practice for wheat. Weed dry weight was taken at 120 DAS (Days after sowing) by placing a quadrat ( $0.25 \text{ m}^2$ ) randomly at two places in each plot. For recording weed dry weight, samples were first sun dried followed by oven drying at 65°C till constant weight was achieved. The crop was harvested on 4<sup>th</sup> April in 2009 and 9<sup>th</sup> April in 2010.

To determine the herbicide residual effect, plots were irrigated after wheat harvest and green gram (cv SML 668) and maize (cv Sawarana during first year, African Tall during second year) were grown in the fixed plots under no- till conditions. The sowing of these crops was done on 12<sup>th</sup> April 2009 and 15<sup>th</sup> April 2010. The row to row spacing was 40 cm for green gram and 20 cm for maize. Maize seeds were soaked overnight in water before seeding. Need based irrigation and fertilizer applications were done for these crops. Since, no visual phyto-toxicity was observed on green gram, so its biomass was not recorded. Maize biomass was recorded 40 and 43 DAS, during first and second year, respectively.

### Pot Study 3: Response of four grassy weeds to sulfosulfuron and sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone at different surfactant doses

Pot experiments were also conducted to optimize the surfactant dose for sulfosulfuron (Leader 75 WDG) and ready mix combination of sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone (45% WDG). Four test weed species (Echinochoa crus-galli (L.) Beauv., P. minor, A. ludoviciana and Polypogon monspeliensis) were evaluated against two sets of herbicide treatments, one with graded doses of sulfosulfuron and other with graded doses of ready mix combination of sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone 45 WDG (Table 6 and 7). The rates for sulfosulfuron were 6.25, 12.5, 25 g/ha and for RM of sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone 45 (25+20) WDG were 11.25, 22.5 and 45 g/ha. The ready mixture had same doses of sulfosulfuron as in sulfosulfuron alone *i.e.* 6.25, 12.5, 25 g/ha. The surfactant used was cationic (Leader mix) at doses of 0, 625 and 1250 ml/ha.

Each weed species with one herbicide treatments set was considered as separate experiment. Seeds of these species were grown in pots (4.5 kg soil capacity) filled with soil:FYM in ratio of 6:1 (v/v). Seeding depth for E. crus-galli, P. minor and A. ludoviciana was 2-2.5 cm, whereas P. monspeliensis was sown just near the surface. Finally 10-12 plants/pot were maintained except P. monspeliensis where 35 plants/pot were retained. At 3-4 leaf stage of E. crus-galli, P. minor and A. ludoviciana and tillering stage of P. monspeliensis, herbicides were sprayed using spray volume of 350 lit/ha with knapsack sprayer fitted with flat fan nozzles. Four week after herbicide spray, fresh weight of weed species was recorded and based on fresh weight of control pots, the % relative fresh weight under various treatments was calculated.

Each experiment was conducted 3 to 4 times with at least three replications in CRD and for statistical analysis experiment repetitions were considered as replicates. Data were subjected to analysis of variance and means separated by Fisher's protected LSD test at P = 0.05 level (Panse and Sukhatme, 1995).

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

# Study 1: Bio-efficacy of sulfosulfuron and sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone without and with surfactant

The control of grassy weeds (P. minor and A. ludoviciana) was significantly poor when sulfosulfuron and RM of sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone 45 WDG were applied without surfactant (Table 1 and 2). The addition of surfactant to sulfosulfuron and RM sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone reduced the weed dry weight by 33.4-86.8 and 75.8-88.0%, respectively, over without surfactant. The respective reductions for wild oat were 84.3-89.4 and 79.3-91.4%; and for P. minor ranged 80-97.9 and 74.0-92.8%. The improvement in herbicide efficacy with surfactant has been reported by many research workers (Chhokar et al. 2010, Malik et al. 1988, Singh et al. 2008 and Walia et al. 2010). However, the control of broad-leaved weeds (R). dentatus and M. denticulata) with RM of sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone was not affected by surfactant. The performance of sulfosulfuron alone or in combination with carfentrazone was not different at 625 compared to 1250 ml/ha rate of surfactant. The weed control and wheat productivity was better with ready mixture compared to sulfosulfuron alone. The magnitude of yield differences was more during the first year compared to second year due to higher R. dentatus infestation in the first year. The improvement in wheat grain yield and weed control has already been reported with herbicide combination (Chhokar et al. 2007b; Singh et al. 2008; Walia et al. 2010).

### Study 2: Comparative performance of ready mixtures against complex weed flora in wheat

Sulfosulfuron and carfentrazone when applied alone failed to control the Rumex dentatus and grass weeds. respectively (Table 3 and 4). When both the herbicides were applied as ready-mix combination then broadspectrum weed kill was achieved. The efficacy of readymix combination of sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone 45 WDG (Premix) (a) 25 + 20 g/ha without external surfactant was significantly poor on grassy weeds (P. minor and A. ludoviciana) to application with cationic surfactant at 625 or 1250 ml/ha. No significant difference in the performance of sulfosulfuron or RM sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone was observed between surfactant doses of 625 and 1250 ml/ha. The dry weight of P. minor under application of ready mixture at 45 g/ha without surfactant was 67.6 and 170.3  $g/m^2$  and that of wild oat was 119.6 and  $32.8 \text{ g/m}^2$  during first and second year, respectively. The dry matter accumulated by P. minor and A. ludoviciana in sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone mixture treatment at 45 g/ha

Table 1.	Efficacy of sulfosulfuron and ready mixture of sulfosulfuron+carfentrazone-45 (25+20) WDG with and without surfactant against weed
	in wheat (2007-08)

Surfactant ml/ha <i>P. minor A.</i> - 4.42(19.0)* 7.94(62.4)   625 2.19(3.8) 2.83(7.2)   1250 1.18(0.4) 2.46(6.6)   - 5.00(24.2) 7.35(53.7)   625 2.55(6.3) 3.14(11.1)		M. denticulata			
	0, 0,		Others	Total	- grain yield q/ha
		1.18(0.4)	2.49(6.9)	13.33(176.8)	49.2
		1.00(0.0)	1.36(1.0)	10.33(106.2)	53.6
	10.41(109.8)	1.00(0.0)	1.35(0.9)	10.75(117.7)	54.7
	) 1.56(1.7)	1.00(0.0)	1.11(0.2)	8.95(79.9)	55.4
	) 1.64(1.8)	1.00(0.0)	1.05(0.1)	4.25(19.3)	59.1
2.02(3.2) 2.6(6.9)	1.54(1.5)	1.00(0.0)	1.00(0.0)	3.52(11.6)	59.2
12.08(149.7) 11.08(123.1)	1) 8.72(76.9)	9.88(107.7)	2.72(7.9)	18.9(357.6)	41.8
2.09 2.42	1.89	2.74	NS	2.57	3.25
* Original values given in parenthesis are square root transformed for statistical analysis **S= Cationic surfactant					
9		2.42	2.42 1.89	2.42 1.89 2.74	2.42 1.89 2.74 NS

\* Original values given in parenthesis are square root transformed for statistical analysis \*\*S= Cationic surfactant

3.55

2.7

36.15(1325.7)

1.70(2.6)

25.50(670.4)

25.50(652.7)

ī

1.00(0.0)

1.00(0.0)

4.08

0.82

4.55

2.49

LSD (P = 0.05) Weedy Check

51.1 50.1

37.1

18.24(332.1) 6.81(48.8) 6.32(40.0)

1.00(0.0)

12.0(144.0) 3.80(20.1)3.80(16.1)

13.80(188.2)

5.30(28.7) 4.90(23.8)

45 (25+20) 45 (25+20)

> Sulfosulfuron +carfentrazone +S Sulfosulfuron +carfentrazone +S

Sulfosulfuron +carfentrazone

1250 625 ī

> 45 (25+20) ī

Optimizing the surfactant dose for sulfosulfuron and ready mix combination of sulfosulfuron and carfentrazone against weeds in wheat

with surfactant (625, 750 and 1250 ml/ha) was 8.1-16.5 and 4.3-33.7 g/m<sup>2</sup>, respectively. The total weed dry matter accumulation was reduced by 71.8-95.4%, when sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone mixture was applied with surfactant compared to without surfactant. The respective reductions for wild oat and *P. minor* were 71.8-86.9 and 83.1-97.6%.

The total weed dry matter accumulation reduced with increased dose of RM sulfosulfuron + carfentrazoneethyl with surfactant but the differences were not significant among doses = 45 g/ha. Among the various weed control treatments, sulfosulfuron had the significantly higher dry weight of R. dentatus followed by untreated weedy check. The lesser dry weight of R. dentatus in weedy control was due to presence of grass weed competition. Sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone, Total (Sulfosulfuron + metsulfuron), Atlantis (Mesosulfuron + iodosulfuron), isoproturon and carfentrazone-ethyl were effective in controlling the broad-leaf weeds, R. dentatus and *M. denticulata* (Table 3 and 4). Carfentrazone @ 20 g/ha was significantly better than sulfosulfuron with regard to R. dentatus control but at par with rest of the herbicide treatments.

The total weed dry weight in Total (Sulfosulfuron + metsulfuron) 30 +2 g/ha., Atlantis (Mesosulfuron + iodosulfuron) 12+2.4 g/ha. and premix combination of sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone with surfactant at 45 (20+25), 54 (24+30), 90 (50+40) g./ha was not significantly different and all these treatments were significantly superior to the rest of the treatments. The RM sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone can be advantageous over other mixtures in situation having the infestation of *M. parviflora* and *S. nigrum*. Isoproturon failed to control *P. minor* (439.2 and 284.3 g/m<sup>2</sup>) effectively due to presence of isoproturon resistant population. Some escapes of wild oat were also observed with isoproturon application.

Among various treatments, the lowest wheat grain yield was recorded in weedy control (16.80 and 12.5 q/ha) followed by carfentrazone 20 g/ha (20.90 and 13.56 q/ha). The lower wheat yield in carfentrazone was mainly due to its effectiveness against broad-leaved weeds only and uncontrolled grass weeds reduced the yield. Compared to weedy control, all the weed control treatments resulted in significant yield improvement except carfentrazone during the second year of study. The yield reduction due to presence of weeds through out the crop season was 70.3 and 74.8%, during first and second year, respectively. Wheat grain yield in premix combination of sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone-ethyl at 45 g/ha without surfactant was on an average basis 12.1 and 17.9 % less to its application with surfactant during first and second year, respectively. The RM sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone at 45 g/ha without surfactant as well as lower dose of 36 g/ha with surfactant were inferior to doses = 45 g./ha with surfactant, Atlantis (Mesosulfuron + Iodosulfuron), Total (sulfosulfuron + metsulfuron) and season long weed free maintenance in reducing the dry weight of weeds as well as producing the wheat grain yield. Considering the sulfosulfuron and sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone treatments in various experiments in this study, there was an average wheat grain yield gain of 7.6 % with addition of carfentrazone to sulfosulfuron. Similarly, the yield improvement with usage of sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone over sulfosulfuron alone application has been reported by Walia *et al.* 2010.

The results of field studies showed that the efficacy of ready-mix combination of sulfosulfuron+ carfentrazone 45 WDG did not differ among surfactant rates of 625, 750 and 1250 ml/ha.

### Herbicide carryover effect

Herbicide carryover effect on maize and green gram was evaluated. As, no visual adverse effect of any herbicide treatment on green gram was observed, so, the data were not recorded. The visual phyto-toxicity was observed in maize crop. The phyto-toxicity was more in treatments, where 2X dose (90 g/ha) of the ready-mix combination sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone was applied leading to significant reduction in maize fresh biomass (Table 5). The reduction in maize fodder yield was 20.7-73.0 %, where the herbicide treatments comprised the involvement of sulfosulfuron. At 2X dose of sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone (50+40 g/ha), the reduction in maize biomass was 62.6-73.0% compared to weed free check. No phyto-toxicity was observed with carfentrazone, Atlantis, and isoproturon.

#### Pot studies

Sulfosulfuron applied without surfactant had less effect compared to its application with surfactant on all the four test weed species viz. E. crus-galli, P. monspeliensis, P. minor and A. ludoviciana (Table 6). The fresh weight of P. monspeliensis with application of sulfosulfuron at 6.25 g/ha without surfactant and untreated control was not statistically different. Sulfosulfuron dose of 6.25 g/ha with surfactant 625 ml/ha reduced the fresh weight of four test weed species similar to 25 g/ha without surfactant. However, no significant differences were observed between surfactant doses of 625 and 1250 ml/ha indicating that 625 ml may be sufficient for sulfosulfuron. P. monspeliensis is increasing in many wheat fields due to its poor control by sulfosulfuron, Total (sulfosulfuron+ metsulfuron) and Atlantis (mesosulfuron + iodosulfuron) herbicides (Singh 2009b).

+20) WDG on weeds in wheat (2008-09)
(25
45
carfentrazone -
+
mixture sulfosulfuron
of ready
Efficacy
able 3.

	Dose	دە		Weed	Weed dry weight, g/m	g/m <sup>2</sup>		Wheat	1000
Treatments	Herbicide g/ha	Surfactant ml/ha	Phalaris minor	Avena ludoviciana	Rumex dentatus	Other weeds	Total weeds	grain yield	grain wt
Sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone	45(25+20)		8.27 (67.6)**	10.91 (119.6)	1.82 (3.0)	1.08 (0.2)	13.81 (190.3)	48.90	42.89
Sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone +S*	36(20+16)	625	4.47 (20.7)	8.09 (64.8)	4.92 (24.1)	2.02 (4.4)	10.67 (114.0)	51.87	43.61
Sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone +S	36(20+16)	750	4.18(18.5)	7.81 (60.4)	4.52(20.6)	1.17(0.4)	10.01(99.9)	51.66	43.42
Sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone +S	45(25+20)	625	3.32(10.2)	5.87 (33.7)	2.29 (4.5)	1.02(0.0)	7.02 (48.4)	55.57	43.57
Sulfosulfuron + carfentra zone +S	45(25+20)	750	3.48(11.4)	5.55(30.1)	2.76 (7.2)	1.59 (2.2)	7.18 (50.9)	56.17	43.33
Sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone +S	54(30+24)	625	2.43(6.0)	4.80 (22.1)	2.05 (3.3)	1.00(0.0)	5.69 (31.5)	55.97	43.23
Sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone +S	54(30+24)	750	2.26 (5.0)	4.48(19.5)	2.20 (4.8)	1.08(0.2)	5.45 (29.4)	55.60	43.61
Sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone +S	45(25+20)	1250	2.98 (8.1)	5.12 (25.4)	2.14 (4.7)	1(0.0)	6.21 (38.1)	55.14	43.09
Sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone	90 (50+40)	ı	4.06(16.1)	6.16(38.6)	1.71 (2.4)	1.00(0.0)	7.57 (57.1)	53.31	43.15
Sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone +S	90(50+40)	750	1.57 (1.5)	3.39(10.7)	1.91(3.1)	1.26 (0.7)	4.04(16.0)	53.27	42.67
Carfentrazone ethyl	20	·	21.23 (450.4)	17.03 (289.3)	1.64(1.9)	1.14(0.3)	27.25 (741.9)	20.90	40.38
Sulfosulfuron +S	25	1250	2.65 (6.1)	4.63 (21.2)	(201.8) (3.83)	1.08 (0.2)	15.12 (229.2)	49.62	43.65
Sulfosulfuron +S	25	625	3.04(9.1)	4.79 (22.0)	(191.3)	1.29 (0.9)	14.94 (223.2)	49.94	43.00
Total (sulfosulfuron + metsulfuron)+S 32(30+2)	+S 32(30+2)	1250	1.99(3.3)	3.98 (15.0)	1.62 (2.4)	1.00(0.0)	4.57 (20.6)	55.88	43.31
Atlantis (Mesosulfuron +Iodosulfuron) +S	14.4(12+2.4)	500	1.73 (2.3)	1.00 (0.0)	2.59 (5.8)	1.15 (0.4) 3 72	3.03 (8.4)	55.33	43.49
Isoproturon	1000	·	20.97 (439.2)	9.34 (86.5)	1.00(0.0) 11.94	(13.2) 8.71	23.22 (538.9)	26.37	42.25
Weedy check Weed free			20.17 (406.8) 1.00 (0.0)	14.07 (199.2) 1.00 (0.0)	(142.9) 1.00 (0.0)	(75.0) 1.00 (0.0)	28.70 (823.9) 1.00 (0.0)	16.80 56.47	41.05 43.77
LSD (P= 0.05)			1.57	1.33	1.51	0.82	1.64	3.36	1.88

0
9-1
200
t C
hea
s in
ed
We
nst
gai
a rh
ğ
<b>U</b> M
45 (25+20) WDG
25+
5 2
e 4
0U
raz
ent
arf
ິ +
· uo
jur
llus
lfo
Su
of
ure o
ixt
y mixt
Ъ
f rea
v of
acy
ffic
E
ble 4
_
Ë

	D	Dose		м	Weed dry weight, g/m <sup>2</sup>	ight, g/m <sup>2</sup>			Wheat	1000
Treatments	Herbicide	Surfactant	Phalaris	Avena	Rumex	Medicago	Other	Total woode	grain Vield	grain wt
	g/ha	ml/ha	minor	ludoviciana	dentatus	denticulata	weeds	IULAI WEEUS	q/ha	B
Sulfosulfuron + Carfentrazone	45(25+20)		12.84 (170.3)**	5.40 (32.9)	$1.00\ (0.0)$	1.00 (0.0)	1.00 (0.0)	1.00 (0.0) 1.00 (0.0) 13.94 (203.2)	39.87	32.93
Sulfosulfuron + Carfentrazone +S*	36(20+16)	625*	7.75 (59.9)	3.28 (10.5)	1.09 (0.2)	1.00(0.0)	1.07 (0.2)	8.39 (70.8)	44.75	33.08
Sulfosulfuron + Carfentrazone +S 36(20+16)	3 36(20+16)	750	7.69 (64.4)	3.44 (13.5)	1.04(0.1)	1.00(0.0)	1.14(0.3)	8.42 (78.3)	45.71	33.29
Sulfosulfuron + Carfentrazone +S 45(25+20)	\$ 45(25+20)	625	4.15 (16.5)	2.15 (5.0)	1.00(0.0)	1.00(0.0)	1.17(0.4)	4.72 (22.0)	48.48	33.03
Sulfosulfuron + Carfentrazone +S 45(25+20)	\$ 45(25+20)	750	3.31 (10.5)	2.15 (4.3)	1.27 (0.7)	1.00(0.0)	1.12 (0.3)	4.00 (15.7)	49.09	32.72
Sulfosulfuron + Carfentrazone +S	\$ 54(30+24)	625	3.12 (9.9)	1.36(0.9)	1.04(0.1)	1.00(0.0)	1.06 (0.1)	3.27 (11.0)	49.77	33.29
Sulfosulfuron + Carfentrazone +S 54(30+24)	\$ 54(30+24)	750	2.43 (5.5)	2.00 (3.0)	1.00(0.0)	1.00(0.0)	1.26 (0.7)	3.13 (9.2)	48.80	33.21
Sulfosulfuron + Carfentrazone +S 45(25+20)	\$ 45(25+20)	1250	3.47 (12.1)	2.94 (8.6)	1.04(0.1)	1.00(0.0)	1.00(0.0)	4.43 (20.9)	48.04	32.71
Sulfosulfuron + Carfentrazone	90 (50+40)	ı	8.94 (79.2)	3.40 (18.8)	1.00(0.0)	1.00(0.0)	1.00(0.0)	9.83 (98.0)	45.85	32.31
Sulfosulfuron + Carfentrazone +S 90(50+40)	S 90(50+40)	750	1.66(1.9)	1.69 (2.6)	1.04(0.1)	1.00(0.0)	1.00(0.0)	2.21 (4.5)	48.59	33.05
Carfentrazone ethyl	20	ı	25.00 (624.2)	13.74 (204.0)	1.00(0.0)	1.00(0.0)	1.00(0.0)	28.72 (828.1)	13.56	30.31
Sulfosulfuron +S	25	1250	3.55 (12.8)	2.51 (9.4)	8.10 (65.4)	1.10(0.2)	1.80 (2.3)	9.50(90.1)	44.49	32.39
Sulfosulfuron +S	25	625	4.21 (17.6)	4.38 (18.4)	8.65 (75.2)	1.05(0.1)	1.95 (3.3)	10.72 (114.6)	45.73	32.48
Total (Sulfosulfuron + Metsulfuron)+S	32(30+2)	1250	3.23 (9.6)	2.74 (7.3)	1.09(0.2)	1.00(0.0)	1.06(0.1)	4.24 (17.2)	47.94	32.37
Atlantis (Mesosulfuron + Iodosulfuron)+S	14.4(12+2. 4)	500	1.62 (1.7)	1.22 (0.6)	1.59 (2.2)	1.00(0.0) $1.47(1.3)$	1.47 (1.3)	2.57 (5.8)	48.27	32.49
Isoproturon	1000	ı	16.74 (284.3)	6.69 (54.8)	1.00(0.0)	1.50(1.8)	1.30 (0.7)	1.50 (1.8) 1.30 (0.7) 18.49 (341.5)	36.33	30.53
Weedy check	ı	ı	24.38 (595.7)	15.57 (246.3)	4.51 (23.9)	4.86 (28.0)	1.12 (0.3)	4.86 (28.0) 1.12 (0.3) 29.83 (894.1)	12.51	29.66
Weed free	·	ı	1.00(0.0)	1.00(0.0)	1.00(0.0)	1.00 (0.0) 1.00 (0.0)	1.00(0.0)	1.00(0.0)	49.55	33.27
LSD ( $P=0.05$ )			2.56	3.56	1.27	1.16	0.41	3.08	4.04	2.16
*S- Surfactant										

\*\* Original values given in parenthesis are square root transformed for statistical analysis

	Do	se	Maize fres	h biomass
Treatments	Herbicide g/ha	Surfactant ml/ha	(fod) (q/)	,
			2009	2010
Sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone- 45 WDG	45(25+20)	-	64.24	115.86
Sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone- 45 WDG +S*	36(20+16)	625*	77.05	129.54
Sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone- 45 WDG +S	36(20+16)	750	80.59	139.38
Sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone- 45 WDG +S	45(25+20)	625	67.36	120.69
Sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone- 45 WDG +S	45(25+20)	750	68.23	114.19
Sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone- 45 WDG +S	54(30+24)	625	62.77	107.17
Sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone- 45 WDG +S	54(30+24)	750	59.78	100.10
Sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone- 45 WDG +S	45(25+20)	1250	69.81	108.20
Sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone- 45 WDG	90 (50+40)	-	28.80	55.23
Sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone- 45 WDG +S	90(50+40)	750	33.28	65.74
Carfentrazone	20	-	99.83	168.98
Sulfosulfuron +S	25	1250	60.19	125.27
Sulfosulfuron +S	25	625	65.00	118.23
Total (sulfosulfuron 75%+metsulfuron 5%)+S	32(30+2)	1250	62.78	96.21
Atlantis (mesosulfuron3%+iodosulfuron 0.6%)+S	14.4(12+2.4)	500	104.83	169.97
Isoproturon	1000	-	107.71	166.34
Weedy check	-	-	100.48	179.07
Weed free	-	-	106.78	175.80
LSD (P= 0.05)			13.10	24.56

Table 5. Carry over effect of wheat herbicide on succeeding maize

\*S- Surfactant, ml/ha

Like sulfosulfuron, the effect of ready-mix combination of sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone was significantly improved with the addition of surfactant against all the three test species (*E. crus-galli*, *P. minor* and *A. ludoviciana*). No significant differences were observed between the two surfactant rates *i.e.* 625 and 1250 ml/ha on the three test grass species (Table 7).

Further, the role of certain fertilizer salts {urea ammonium nitrate (28% UAN), urea, and ammonium sulfate (AMS)} in combination with different surfactants in improving the efficacy of sulfosulfuron and sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone need to be evaluated. As, these additives increase the herbicide absorption into plants (Wills *et al.* 1998, Young and Hart 1998, Miller *et al.* 1999). Urea fertilizer at 0.25% w/v (Woznica *et al.* 2001) and calcium nitrate (Woznica *et al.* 2003) enhances the efficacy of sulfosulfuron. It has also been observed that AMS overcomes the decreased herbicide activity due to antagonism caused by the presence of metal cations (Ca, Na, K and Mg) in water used as spray solution (Nalewaja and Matysiak 1993, McMullen 1994, Nalewaja *et al.* 1995).

### Conclusions

The field and pot studies indicate that for better efficacy of sulfosulfuron and sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone against grass weeds (E. crus-galli, P. monspeliensis, P. minor and A. ludoviciana), surfactant is a must. The optimum surfactant dose required for sulfosulfuron alone and its combination with carfentrazone was 625 ml/ha indicating that surfactant dose can be reduced from the present level of 1250 ml/ha by 40-50% i.e. 625-750 ml/ha. If this saving is extended on an area of about 1.56 m ha (Crop Life India, 2011) covered by sulfosulfuron or its combination with metsulfuron (Total/Bracket etc.) a saving of about 0.78 to 0.97 million l/annum of surfactant can be made. The ready mixtures provided better wheat production due to broad spectrum weed kill compared to alone application of carfentrazone and sulfosulfuron. The optimum dose of ready mix combination of sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone was 45 (25+20) g/ha with 625-750 ml/ha of surfactant. The performance of this combination with regard to wheat productivity and weed control was similar to already recommended herbicide mixtures *i.e.* Atlantis (Mesosulfuron + Iodosulfuron) 14.4(12+2.4) g/ha and Total (sulfosulfuron + metsulfuron) 32(30+2) g/ha.

Treatments Control Sulfosulfuron	Herbicide S g/ha - 6.25 12.5 25.0	Surfactant ml/ha - - 625 625 625 625 1250 1250 1250	TreatmentsHerbicideSurfactant ml/ha $*E. crus-galli*P. mg/haml/ha*E. crus-galli*P. mg/haml/ha*E. crus-galli*P. mControl  100.0100Sulfosulfuron6.25 54.650Sulfosulfuron6.25 28.023Sulfosulfuron12.5 28.023Sulfosulfuron8.165012.5 12.89Sulfosulfuron + surfactant6.256257.414Sulfosulfuron + surfactant12.56257.411Sulfosulfuron + surfactant12.56257.411Sulfosulfuron + surfactant12.56257.414Sulfosulfuron + surfactant25.00.57.111Sulfosulfuron + surfactant2.512507.111Sulfosulfuron + surfactant2.512507.111Sulfosulfuron + surfactant2.512507.111Sulfosulfuron + surfactant2.512500.70.7Sulfosulfuron + surfactant2.512500.714.45Sulfosulfuron + surfactant2.512500.70.7Sulfosulfuron + surfactant2.512500.714.45Sulfosulfuron + surfactant2.50.714.4514*At th$	*P. minor 100.0 50.3 50.3 50.3 50.3 9.4 14.4 5.9 1.4 11.6 3.1 0.5 14.43 11.6 3.1 0.5 14.43	© Surfactant ml/ha *E. crus-galli *P. minor *A. ludoviciana *P. monsp. $ -$ 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 $-$ 54.6 50.3 78.7 98.1 $-$ 54.6 50.3 78.7 98.1 $-$ 54.6 50.3 78.7 98.1 $-$ 28.0 23.8 50.3 66.3 $-$ 12.8 9.4 29.8 66.3 $625$ 7.4 14.4 20.4 66.3 $625$ 7.4 14.4 20.4 66.3 $625$ 0.5 1.4 23.8 56.5 $625$ 0.5 1.4 23.8 66.3 $1250$ 0.7 11.6 23.8 65.6 $1250$ 0.7 11.6 23.8 65.7 $1250$ 0.7 0.5 3.2 4.4 $1250$ 0.7 0.5 3.2 4.4 $1250$ <th>iana *1</th> <th>*<i>P. monsp eliensis</i> 100.0 98.1 66.3 66.3 66.3 66.3 5.6 5.6 5.6 65.6 4.4 13.12 13.12</th>	iana *1	* <i>P. monsp eliensis</i> 100.0 98.1 66.3 66.3 66.3 66.3 5.6 5.6 5.6 65.6 4.4 13.12 13.12
Control Sulfosulfuron	- 6.25 12.5 25.0	- - 625 625 625 1250 1250 1250	100.0 54.6 28.0 12.8 7.4 4.4 4.4 0.5 0.7 0.7 14.45 14.45 14.45	100.0 50.3 23.8 9.4 14.4 5.9 1.4 11.6 3.1 0.5 14.43 14.43 14.43	100.0 78.7 50.3 29.8 29.4 8.9 4.3 23.8 7.7 3.2 15.25		100.0 98.1 66.3 66.3 66.3 26.5 3.7 65.6 65.6 4.4 13.12
Sulfosulfuron	6.25 12.5 25.0	- - 625 625 625 1250 1250 1250	54.6 28.0 12.8 7.4 4.4 0.5 7.1 3.0 0.7 14.45 14.45	50.3 23.8 9.4 14.4 5.9 11.6 3.1 0.5 14.43 14.43 14.43	78.7 50.3 29.8 20.4 8.9 4.3 4.3 4.3 23.8 7.7 3.2 15.25		98.1 66.3 60.2 66.3 26.5 3.7 65.6 65.6 22.9 4.4 13.12
01f1f	12.5 25.0	- - 625 625 1250 1250 1250	28.0 12.8 7.4 4.4 0.5 0.7 0.7 14.45 14.45	23.8 9.4 14.4 5.9 1.4 11.6 3.1 0.5 14.43 14.43	50.3 29.8 20.4 8.9 4.3 4.3 23.8 7.7 3.2 15.25	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	66.3 60.2 66.3 26.5 3.7 65.6 65.6 4.4 13.12
Introsutint	25.0	- 625 625 625 1250 1250 1250	12.8 7.4 4.4 0.5 7.1 3.0 0.7 14.45 14.45 s, which was at tillerin	9.4 14.4 5.9 1.4 11.6 3.1 0.5 14.43 14.43	29.8 20.4 8.9 4.3 4.3 23.8 7.7 3.2 15.25		60.2 66.3 26.5 3.7 65.6 65.6 4.4 13.12
Sulfosulfuron		625 625 625 1250 1250	7.4 4.4 0.5 7.1 3.0 0.7 14.45 14.45 s, which was at tillerin	14.4 5.9 1.4 11.6 3.1 0.5 14.43 gstage	20.4 8.9 4.3 4.3 23.8 7.7 3.2 15.25		66.3 26.5 3.7 65.6 65.6 4.4 13.12
Sulfosulfuron + surfactant	6.25	625 625 1250 1250 1250	4.4 0.5 7.1 3.0 0.7 14.45 <i>s, which was at tillerin</i>	5.9 1.4 11.6 3.1 0.5 14.43 <i>g stage</i>	8.9 4.3 23.8 7.7 3.2 15.25	a contraction of the second	26.5 3.7 65.6 22.9 4.4 13.12
Sulfosulfuron + surfactant	12.5	625 1250 1250 1250	0.5 7.1 3.0 0.7 14.45 s, which was at tillerin	1.4 11.6 3.1 0.5 14.43 g stage	4.3 23.8 7.7 3.2 15.25	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	3.7 65.6 22.9 4.4 13.12
Sulfosulfuron + surfactant	25.0	1250 1250 1250	7.1 3.0 0.7 14.45 s, which was at tillerin	11.6 3.1 0.5 14.43 g stage	23.8 7.7 3.2 15.25	and the second	65.6 22.9 4.4 13.12
Sulfosulfuron + sur factant	6.25	1250 1250	3.0 0.7 14.45 s, which was at tillerin	3.1 0.5 14.43 g stage	7.7 3.2 15.25	an increase and a second s	22.9 4.4 13.12
Sulfosulfuron + surfactant	12.5	1250	0.7 14.45 s, which was at tillerin	0.5 14.43 g stage	3.2 15.25	anine of the second	4.4 13.12
Sulfosulfuron+ surfactant	25.0		14.45 s, which was at tillerin	14.43 g stage	15.25	acainst av	13.12 ass woods
LSD (P= 0.05)			s, which was at tillerin	g stage	45 WDG	acainet av	speen sse
Į	1			     	LI COLL W		
Treatments	ents	Herbicide g/ha	Surfactant ml/ha	E.	crus-galli H	P. minor	A. Iudoviciana
Control		I	ı	10	0001	100.0	100.0
Sulfoculfuron + carfentrazone		11.25(6.25+5)	I		0.U	100.0	100.0
				S.	59.6	71.5	79.5
Sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone		(01 + C.21)C.22	ı	3.	39.2	37.0	44.9
Sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone		(02 + 0.62) + 20	' (	1.	12.3	17.2	11.0
Sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone + Surfactant	+ Surfactant	11.25(6.25+5)	625	x	8.9	22.9	8.0
Sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone + Surfactant	+ Surfactant	22.5(12.5+10)	625		2.6	6.8	3.7
Sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone + Surfactant	e + Surfactant	45(25.0 + 20)	625	)	0.2	1.2	1.0
Sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone + Surfactant	e + Surfactant	11.25(6.25 + 5)	1250	(~	7.0	19.7	7.0
Sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone	+ Surfactant	22.5(12.5 + 10)	1250	1	1.7	3.3	2.4
Sulfosulfuron + carfentrazone	+ Surfactant	45(25.0 + 20)	1250	0	0.4	1.7	0.4
				10	10.13	15 27	11 20

Optimizing the surfactant dose for sulfosulfuron and ready mix combination of sulfosulfuron and carfentrazone against weeds in wheat

### REFERENCES

- Balyan RS, Singh S and Malik RK. 2000. Efficacy of sulfosulfuron as influenced by surfactants. *Indian J. Weed Sci.* 32: 190-193.
- Chhokar RS and Malik RK. 2002. Isoproturon resistant *Phalaris minor* and its response to alternate herbicides. *Weed Technol.* 16: 116-123.
- Chhokar RS, Sharma RK, Pundir AK and Singh RK. 2007a.Evaluation of herbicides for control of *Rumex dentatus*, *Convolvulus arvensis* and *Malva parviflora*. *Indian J. Weed Sci.* 39: 214-218.
- Chhokar RS, Sharma RK, Jat GR, Pundir AK and Gathala MK. 2007b. Effect of tillage and herbicides on weeds and productivity of wheat under rice-wheat growing system. *Crop Prot.* **26**: 1689-1696.
- Chhokar RS, Sharma RK, Gill SC and Singh RK. 2010. Efficacy of AE F130060 and MKH 6561 in controlling weeds in wheat. *Pestology* **24**(6):35-39.
- Chhokar RS, Singh Samar and Sharma RK. 2008. Herbicides for control of isoproturon-resistant Littleseed Canarygrass (*Phalaris minor*) in wheat. Crop Prot. **27**: 719-726.
- Green JM and Green JH. 1993. Surfactant structure and concentration strongly affect rimsulfuron activity. *Weed Technol.* **7**: 633-640.
- Howatt KA. 2005. Carfentrazone ethyl injury to spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) is minimized by some ALS inhibiting herbicides. *Weed Technol.* **19**: 777-783.
- Malik RK, Balyan RS and Bhan VM. 1988. Effect of surfactants on the efficacy of some post-emergence grass herbicides. *Haryana agric. Univ. J. Res.* **18**: 276-283.
- Mathiassen SK and Kudsk P. 1998. Influence of broadleaved weed herbicides on the activity of fenoxaprop-p-ethyl. *Weed Res.* **38**, 283–289.
- McMullen PM. 1994. Effect of sodium bicarbonate on clethodim or quizalofop efficacy and the role of ultraviolet light. *Weed Technol.* 8: 572–575.
- Miller PA, Westra P and Nissen SJ. 1999. The influence of surfactant and nitrogen on foliar absorption of MON 37500. *Weed Sci.* **47**: 270–274.
- Nalewaja JD and Matysiak R. 1993. Optimizing adjuvants to overcome glyphosate antagonistic salts. *Weed Technol.* 7: 337–342.
- Nalewaja JD, Praczyk T and Matysiak R. 1995. Surfactants and oil adjuvants with nicosulfuron. *Weed Technol.* **9**: 689–695.

- Panse VG. and Sukhatme PV. 1995. *Statistical methods for agricultural workers*. ICAR, New Delhi, India.
- Singh S. 2009. Synergy of tank mix application of herbicides on Canada thistle (*Cirsium arvense*) under non-cropped situation. *Ind. J. Weed Sci.* **41**: 88-95.
- Singh S. 2009b. Evaluation of some graminicides against Polypogon monspeliensis (L.) Desf. Ind. J. Weed Sci. 41:96-98
- Singh G, Singh M and Singh VP. 2002. Effect of metsulfuronmethyl alone and in combination with 2,4-D and surfactant on non-grassy weeds and wheat yield. *Indian J. Weed Sci.* 34:175-177.
- Singh S, Yadav AK and Balyan RS. 2008. Evaluation of AE F130060 and MKH 6561 for weed control in wheat. *Indian J. Weed Sci.* 40: 201-204.
- Singh S, Punia SS, Balyan RS and Malik RK. 2008b. Efficacy of tribenuron-methyl applied alone and tank mix against broadleaf weeds of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Ind. J. Weed Sci.* 40: 109-120.
- Singh S, Malik RK, Balyan RS, Singh S. 1995. Distribution of weed flora of wheat in Haryana. *Indian J. Weed Sci.* 27: 114-121.
- Singh S, Punia SS, Yadav Ashok and Hooda VS. 2011. Evaluation of carfentrazone ethyl + metsulfuron methyl against broadleaf weeds of wheat. *Ind. J. Weed Sci.* **43**:12-22.
- Walia US, Kaur Tarundeep, Nayyar Shelly and Singh K. 2010. Performance of carfentrazone-ethyl 20% + sulfosulfuron 25% WDG- A formulated herbicide for total weed control in wheat. *Indian J. Weed Sci.* 42: 155-158.
- Wills GD, Hanks JE, Jones EJ and Mack RE. 1998. Effect of oil adjuvants and nitrogen fertilizer on the efficacy of imazethapyr applied at conventional and ultra low spray volumes. *Weed Technol.* 12: 441–445.
- Woznica Z, Nalewaja JD and Messersmith CG. 2001. Sulfosulfuron efficacy is affected by surfactants, pH of spray mixtures, and salts 11–22. In : *Pesticide Formulations and application* systems: a new century for agricultural formulations. (Eds. Mueninghoff JC, Viets AK and Downer RA), Volume 21. ASTM STP 1414. West Conshohocken, PA: American Society for Testing and Materials.
- Woznica Z, Messersmith CG and Nalewaja JD. 2003. Effect of adjuvants on sulfosulfuron efficacy. Zeszyty Problemowe Postepow Nauk Rolniczych 490:313-321.
- Young BG and Hart SE. 1998. Optimizing foliar activity of isoxaflutole on giant foxtail (*Seteria faberi*) with various adjuvants. *Weed Sci.* 46: 397–402.