



## Effect of mulching and herbicides on weeds, yield and economics of tomato grown under drip irrigation system

H.F. Patel\*, S.K. Attar<sup>1</sup>, A.I. Makwana and J.K. Bana<sup>2</sup>

Horticulture Polytechnic College, Navsari Agricultural University, Paria 396 145, Gujarat

<sup>1</sup>College of Agriculture, Sri Karan Narendra Agriculture University, Fatepur 332 301, Rajasthan

<sup>2</sup>College of Agriculture, Sri Karan Narendra Agriculture University, Lalsot 303 511, Rajasthan

\*Email: hfpatel108@gmail.com

### Article information

DOI: 10.5958/0974-8164.2021.00037.X

Type of article: Research note

Received : 2 March 2021

Revised : 12 April 2021

Accepted : 15 April 2021

### Key words

Pendimethalin

Quizalofop-p-ethyl

Mulching

Tomato

Weed control efficiency

### ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted at Horticulture Polytechnic College, Navsari Agricultural University, Paria, Gujarat, India to determine the effect of mulching and herbicides on weed infestation, yield and economics of tomato cultivation under drip irrigation system. The experiment comprised of four mulches, viz., black polyethylene, silver polythene, red polythene and paddy straw; two herbicide treatments *i.e.* pendimethalin 1.0 kg/ha pre-emergence + one hand weeding at 45 days after transplanting (DAT), pendimethalin 1.0 kg/ha pre-emergence + quizalofop-p-ethyl 0.04 kg/ha post-emergence PoE at 45 DAT along with a weed free treatment and a weedy check. Results revealed that black polythene mulch recorded highest weed control efficiency (37.86%), minimum weed index (0.00%), highest yield (82.45 t/ha), maximum net realization and highest benefit cost ratio (2.20). Therefore, it is suggested that the black polythene (50  $\mu$ ) mulching may be used for effective weed management and optimal yield of tomato under drip irrigation system.

Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) is one of the most important vegetable crops in India and it is quite popular amongst small and medium-scale commercial farmers due to high net returns. Weeds are the major limiting factor affecting the productivity in drip irrigation based intensive vegetable production system. Weeding and hoeing are common cultural and manual weed management methods for tomato. Manual weeding at right stage is difficult, time consuming and expensive due to intermittent rainfall during rainy season and scanty labour, therefore, farmers rarely adopt manual weeding for weed control. The practice of applying mulches for the production of vegetables is thousands of years old. The use of mulches typically results in higher yields and quality in vegetable crops enhancing profitability for the grower. these are many herbicides that are effective to control weeds in tomato. done to find the feasibility of using mulch materials and herbicides for weed control in tomato under drip irrigation.

A field experiment was carried out at Agriculture Experimental Station, Navsari Agricultural University Paria, Gujarat, India during 2017, 2018 and 2019 to determine effect of different mulches and herbicidal treatments on weed control in tomato under drip

irrigation system. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design (RBD) with eight treatments replicated three times. The different weed management treatments were four mulches, viz. black polyethylene (50 $\mu$ ), silver polythene (50 $\mu$ ), red polythene (50 $\mu$ ) and paddy straw (5tons/ha); two herbicide treatments *i.e.* pendimethalin 1.0 kg/ha (pre-emergence) + one hand weeding 45 days after transplanting (DAT), pendimethalin 1.0 kg/ha (pre-emergence) + quizalofop-p-ethyl 0.04 kg/ha (post-emergence) at 45 DAT along with a weed free treatment and a weedy check. Forty-day-old uniform seedlings of tomato cv. "Abhinav" were transplanted in 5.4 x 3.6 m plots at 90 x 60 cm spacing and irrigated. Mulch treatments were applied before transplanting, and herbicides were applied with the help of knapsack sprayer at the specified time and dose. Weeds were uprooted as and when seen in weed free treatment. Tomato plant height and kind of weeds, viz. monocot, dicot and sedges were counted at 60 days after transplanting in 1m<sup>2</sup> quadrat. Cost of cultivation, net returns and benefit cost ratio were also calculated to work out the economics of different weed control strategies and to suggest the best treatment. Statistical analysis was carried out by

following the standard methods given by Panse and Sukhatme (1967).

### Plant height and density of weeds

Maximum plant height (69.00 cm) was recorded in black polythene mulched plots which was statistically at par with silver coloured polythene mulched plots (**Table 1**), while unweeded control plots recorded the minimum plant height (51.53 cm) at 60 DAT. In the herbicidal treatments (pendimethalin 1.0 kg/ha pre-emergence + 1 hand weeding 45 DAT) and (pendimethalin 1.0 kg/ha pre-emergence + quizalofop-p-ethyl 0.04 kg/ha post-emergence 45 DAT) 60.72 cm and 57.36 cm plant height was recorded, respectively which were statistically at par with each other. The polythene mulched plots recorded higher plant height as plants grown under plastic mulch experienced higher soil temperature, warmer microclimate and weed free environment as compared to straw mulch, herbicidal treatments and unweeded control, which resulted in higher growth of plants. Plastic mulches hinder the evaporation and moderate the soil temperature and moisture conditions that help in better root development and nutrient uptake by plant which ultimately improves the plant growth. Soil thermal regime, a crucial factor for plant growth and development, is influenced by the colour of plastic mulch. The effects of black plastic mulch (BPM), silver plastic mulch (SPM), transparent plastic mulch (TPM) and bare soil on soil temperature regime as well as on growth and yield of rainfed soybean were evaluated in a field experiment (Kader *et al.* 2020). The coloured-mulching significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) increased soybean growth attributes and thus augmented seed yield by 31–34% compared to bare soil. The findings of present study are in close agreement with Khan *et al.* (2015) where longest sponge gourd vines were recorded in black polythene mulched plots. In another study, Bhatt *et al.* (2011) also recorded maximum plant height and spread in summer squash plots mulched with black polythene.

Minimum weed population was recorded in black polythene mulched plots, while unweeded control plots recorded maximum weeds (**Table 1**). The silver and red coloured mulches checked the growth of all kind of weeds more effectively than the herbicidal treatments. Further, silver coloured polythene was statistically superior to red coloured polythene in restricting the number of sedges. Polythene and weed free plots compared to the chemical and unmulched plots showed significantly least weed infestation. Similar results were observed in cassava (Nedunchezhiyan *et al.* 2017) and onion (Dulal Sarkar *et al.* 2019). The cessation of weed growth under mulches might be due to the dark barrier and subsequent photosynthesis inhibition. Low number of weeds under black polythene mulch may be due to high temperature and reduced light availability as compared to other mulches (Bakht *et al.* 2014), reduced germination of light responsive seeds and physically blocking the emergence of most weeds (Edgar 2017). Black colour of the polyethylene absorbed all the incident radiations itself so less light penetration occurred which ultimately checked the weed seed germination and growth (Ngouajio and Ernest 2004).

### Weed control efficiency

The highest weed control efficiency was recorded in black polythene mulched plots (37.86%) followed by silver and red coloured polythene mulched plots, while unweeded control recorded zero per cent weed control efficiency (**Table 2**). The variation of weed control efficiency among the different plastic colours may be attributed to their differences on soil temperature and the absorbance and transmittance of solar radiation (Ashrafuzzaman *et al.* 2011). The influence of plastic mulch on weeds may come through trapping radiant energy in clear mulch to create a greenhouse effect (Teasdale and Mohler 2000), while black plastic mulch controls weeds by obstructing photosynthetically active light reaching the ground surface. The lowest weed index

**Table 1. Plant height and occurrence of different types of weeds at 60 days after transplanting as affected by different treatments in tomato crop**

| Treatment                                                                    | Plant height (cm) | Monocot weeds (no./m <sup>2</sup> ) | Dicot weeds (no./m <sup>2</sup> ) | Sedges (no./m <sup>2</sup> ) |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Pendimethalin 1.0 kg/ha (PE) + 1 hand weeding 45 DAT                         | 60.72             | 3.96 (15.75)                        | 3.59 (13.75)                      | 1.84 (3.51)                  |
| Pendimethalin 1.0 kg/ha (PE) + quizalofop-p-ethyl 0.04 kg/ha at 45 DAT (PoE) | 57.36             | 4.05 (16.42)                        | 3.90 (14.85)                      | 2.08 (4.40)                  |
| Weed free                                                                    | 62.47             | 3.33 (11.25)                        | 2.79 (7.98)                       | 1.59 (2.58)                  |
| Unweeded control                                                             | 51.53             | 5.23 (27.33)                        | 4.62 (21.33)                      | 2.26 (5.19)                  |
| Black polythene mulch (50 $\mu$ )                                            | 69.00             | 3.23 (10.25)                        | 2.72 (7.73)                       | 1.44 (2.15)                  |
| Silver polythene mulch (50 $\mu$ )                                           | 66.28             | 3.41 (11.75)                        | 2.85 (8.31)                       | 1.59 (2.58)                  |
| Red polythene mulch (50 $\mu$ )                                              | 63.33             | 3.57 (12.83)                        | 2.93 (8.75)                       | 1.69 (2.90)                  |
| Paddy straw mulch (5t/ha)                                                    | 59.55             | 3.97 (15.91)                        | 3.68 (13.75)                      | 1.99 (4.00)                  |
| LSD ( $p=0.05$ )                                                             | 3.72              | 0.42                                | 0.25                              | 0.08                         |

Square root transformed, figures in the parentheses are original values; PE: Pre-emergence; PoE: Post-emergence

**Table 2. Effect of different treatments on weed control efficiency, weed index, yield and benefit cost ratio**

| Treatment                                                                    | Weed control efficiency (%) | Weed index | Yield (t/ha) | Benefit cost ratio (BCR) |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|--------------|--------------------------|
| Pendimethalin 1.0 kg/ha (PE) + 1 hand weeding 45 DAT                         | 28.26                       | 17.97      | 67.97        | 1.86                     |
| Pendimethalin 1.0 kg/ha (PE) + quizalofop-p-ethyl 0.04 kg/ha at 45 DAT (PoE) | 26.20                       | 23.50      | 63.35        | 1.81                     |
| Weed free                                                                    | 32.99                       | 12.88      | 72.10        | 1.89                     |
| Unweeded control                                                             | 0.00                        | 47.76      | 43.16        | 1.08                     |
| Black polythene mulch (50 $\mu$ )                                            | 37.86                       | 0.00       | 82.45        | 2.20                     |
| Silver polythene mulch (50 $\mu$ )                                           | 35.47                       | 4.22       | 79.29        | 2.08                     |
| Red polythene mulch (50 $\mu$ )                                              | 33.11                       | 16.33      | 74.80        | 1.90                     |
| Paddystraw mulch (5t/ha)                                                     | 25.80                       | 26.03      | 63.22        | 1.47                     |

Tomato selling rate- 5 Rs./kg; PE- Pre-emergence; PoE - Post-emergence

(0%) was observed in black polythene mulched plots followed by silver polythene mulched plots, while the maximum weed index was recorded in unweeded control plots (47.79%). The lower weed index in polythene mulched plots might be due to suppression of all type of weeds at critical periods. Similar results were reported by Aniekwe and Nwite (2013) in cucumber, Sha and Karuppaiah (2005) in brinjal and (Choudhary *et al.* 2012) in capsicum.

### Economics

The cost of cultivation, gross as well as net realization and benefit cost ratio (BCR) were calculated for each treatment on the bases of inputs applied, tomato yield and prevailing market prices. The highest benefit cost ratio (2.20) was recorded in black polythene mulch treatment, while lowest BCR value was recorded in unweeded control (**Table 2**). Non-chemical weed control such as mulches is required due to herbicide-resistant weeds and environmental pollution caused by herbicides. However, due to environmental demerits of plastic mulch, degradable or biodegradable mulches have been suggested as alternative to black plastic mulch. Several kinds of straw mulches have also been investigated and provide encouraging results for weed control in vegetable crops as evident from this study.

### REFERENCES

Aniekwe NL and Nwite PO. 2013. Influence of transparent and black plastic mulches and staking on the environment, growth and yield of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) in Abakaliki, Southeastern Nigeria. *International Journal of Science and Research* **9**(2): 2319–7064.

Ashrafuzzaman M, Abdulhamid A, Ismail MR and Sahidullah S.M 2011. Effect of plastic mulch on growth and yield of chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.). *Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology* **54**(2): 321–330.

Bakht T, Khan IA, Marwat K and Hussain Z. 2014. Integration of row spacing, mulching and herbicides on weed management in tomato. *Pakistan Journal of Botany* **46**(2): 543–547.

Bhatt L, Rana R, Uniyal SP and Singh VP. 2011. Effect of mulch materials on vegetative characters, yield and economics of summer squash (*Cucurbita pepo*) under rainfed mid - hill condition of Uttarakhand. *Vegetable Science* **38**(2): 165–168.

Choudhary VK, Bhambri MC, Pandey N and Sharma HG. 2012. Effect of drip irrigation and mulches on physiological parameters, soil temperature, picking patterns and yield in capsicum (*Capsicum annum* L.). *Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science* **58**(3): 277–292.

Dulal Sarkar Md, Solaiman Abul Hasnat Muhammad, Jahan Mohammad Shah, RojoniRojobi Nahar, Kabir Khairuland Hasanuzzaman Mirza. 2019. Soil parameters, onion growth, physiology, biochemical and mineral nutrient composition in response to colored polythene film mulches. *Annals of Agricultural Sciences* **64**: 63–70.

Edgar ON. 2017. Transparent, Black and organic mulches effect on weed suppression in green pepper (*Capsicum annum*) in Western Kenya. *Journal of Agricultural Sciences* **5**(1): 67–76.

Kader MA, Nakamura K, Senge M, Mojid MA and Kawashima S. 2020. Effects of coloured plastic mulch on soil hydrothermal characteristics, growth and water productivity of rainfed soybean. *Irrigation and Drainage* **69**: 483–494.

Khan S, Pal, M and Kumar V. 2015. Influence of different mulches on growth and yield of sponge gourd (*Luffa cylindrica* L.). *Plant Archives* **15**(1): 393–395.

Nedunchezhiyan M, Byju G, Veena SS and Ravi V. 2017. Herbicides and polythene mulching effects on yield of cassava. *Indian Journal of Weed Science* **49**(1): 58–62.

Ngouajio MN and Ernest J. 2004. Light transmission through coloured polyethylene mulches affected weed population. *HortScience* **39**(6): 1302–1304.

PanseeVG and Sukhatme PV. 1967. *Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers*, 2nd Edition. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi. 381pp.

Sha K and Karuppaiah P. 2005. Integrated weed management in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). *Indian Journal of Weed Science* **37**(1&2): 137–138.

Teasdale JR and Mohler CL. 2008. The quantitative relationship between weed emergence and the physical properties of mulches. *Weed Science* **48**: 385–392.