REVIEW ARTICLE

Climate change effect on the efficacy of biological control agents of terrestrial and aquatic invasive weeds

Sushilkumar1*, Archana Anokhe¹ , Neelu Singh² and Mudagadde G. Deeksha¹

Received: 17 August 2024 | Revised: 16 November 2024 | Accepted: 18 November 2024

ABSTRACT

Climate change may affect the weed biology and ability to thrive well in adverse situations in comparison to biocontrol agents used to manage these weeds though biological control methods. The complex interactions between invasive terrestrial and aquatic weeds and their biocontrol agents have challenges under climate change scenarios. Increased climatic parameters like temperature, CO2 and rainfall have been documented well for increasing the fitness of terrestrial and aquatic weeds in different areas. Some studies have also pointed out the effect of elevated temperature, CO₂ and rainfall on the insect life-cycle and their performance to manage the invasive weeds by the biocontrol agents. Many prediction models based on climatic parameters have been developed in context to the distribution and range expansion of terrestrial and aquatic weeds and also suitability of their biocontrol agents to manage them. In this review, we synthesize and discuss studies describing the potential of biocontrol agents for the management of invasive terrestrial and aquatic weeds under climate change in context to India and the world as well. We also discuss potential methodologies of prediction models that can be used for the fast establishment of biocontrol agents against the invasive weeds under climate change.

Keywords: Aquatic weeds, Biocontrol agents, Biological control, Climate change, CO₂, Invasive weeds, Weed biology

INTRODUCTION

Alein invasive weeds are plant species when introduced to new environments, rapidly multiply and replace native species, causing ecological, economic, and social damage due to unbeatable attributes like rapid growth, high seed production, and environmental adaptability. Species like *Cirsium arvense, Lantana camara*, *Parthenium hysterophor*us, *Eichhornia crassipes, Pistia stratiotes, Salvinia molesta etc.* exemplify this disturbance. *Lantana camara* forms dense thickets that displace native plants and alter fire regimes in tropical forest areas. *Parthenium hysterophorus*, once a weed of non-cropped areas, has become a major weed of cropped areas (Sushilkumar 2014) while *Cirsium arvense* competes with crops and native species for resources in temperate zones. Water hyienth in water bodies develops dense mats on water surfaces, blocking sunlight and oxygen, severely impacting aquatic ecosystems, fisheries, and recreational activities besides being a cause of several drowning cases of men and animals in India and elsewhere (Dar *et al*. 2019, Yigermal and Assefa

2019, Sushilkumar 2011, 2012, 2022). These invasive weed species reduce biodiversity, degrade habitats, and disrupt ecosystem functions in both terrestrial and aquatic environments globally.

Methods like manual or mechanical removal and herbicides are expensive, environmentally harmful and often ineffective on a large scale. Biological control has gained popularity as a more sustainable and eco-friendly solution for managing invasive weeds. Introduction of coevolved natural enemies from an invasive species' home range (classical biological control) has been one of the key methods for suppressing invasive species (McFadyen 1998, Moran *et al*. 2005, Messing and Wright 2006). Biological control uses natural enemies like insects, pathogens, competitive plant species, nematodes or herbivores to manage invasive species through eating, killing or competition. Host specific biocontrol agents are carefully chosen from the native range of weeds to introduce in other countries where that type of weeds has become a menace or to introduce known and proven bioagents from other countries to target invasive weed without harming native plants, animals, or ecosystems (Sushilkumar 2015). Biological control has effectively managed invasive species such as *Opuntia* (prickly pear cactus) from India and many other counties (Sushilkumar 2015) including from South Africa, where *Dactylopius opuntiae*

¹ ICAR-Directorate of Weed Research, Maharajpur, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India 482004

² ICFRE-Tropical Forest Research Institute, Mandla Road, Neemkheda, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh 482021, India

^{*} Corresponding author email: sknrcws@gmail.com

(cochineal insect), controlled its spread (Kudakwashe 2021). For aquatic weeds like *Eichhornia crassipes*, bioagent *Neochetina eichhorniae* targets the plant's stems and roots, reducing its growth and expansion. Similarly, *Cyrtobagous salviniae* have been introduced to control *Salvinia molesta* in regions like Southeast Asia including India and Australia, feeding on its fronds to limit its spread (Sushilkumar 2015). These examples highlight the success of biocontrol agents in managing invasive species world over by targeting their specific weaknesses. Success stories of weed biological control in India have been dealt by Sushilkumar (2022).

Biological control offers several advantages over traditional methods, including long-term, selfregulating management of invasive weed species. Once established, biocontrol agents can maintain populations in response to changes in weed numbers, reducing the need for continuous intervention and minimizing environmental risks from chemicals or mechanical methods. It is also more cost-effective for large-scale weed control, especially in resourcelimited countries. However, the success of biological control depends on environmental factors, including climate conditions, which affect the survival, reproduction, and efficacy of biocontrol agents. Therefore, climate change may significantly impact the effectiveness of biological control strategies.

Climate change significantly impacts the spread, distribution, growth and ecological impact of invasive weeds in general and alien invasive weeds in particular. Rising temperatures, changed rainfall patterns and increased atmospheric $CO₂$ can increase the invasiveness of weed species, which in turn disrupt ecosystems, threaten native biodiversity, and cause economic losses in cropped, non-cropped and forest areas. There is prediction of increase of global temperatures which may exceed 1.5°C during 2021 to 2040, particularly under high-emission scenarios (Bacchin *et al.* 2023, IPCC 2023). It has been projected that $CO₂$ concentrations may increase two to four times higher than those observed in the last 0.8 million years without significant mitigation efforts. This may lead unprecedented climatic changes (Raviraja 2023). According to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), the global atmospheric $CO₂$ concentration may rise to 730-1000 µmol/mol by the end of the 21st century (Varanasi *et al.* 2015). Further, if emissions remain unchecked, global temperatures could rise by 3.6 to 4.4 $\rm ^{\circ}C$ by the end of the 21 $\rm ^{st}$ century (Adak *et al.*) 2023), which may cause severe consequences for, food security, human health and water availability.

Simberloff (2012) opined that climate change may also influence weeds and natural enemy's species interactions, and biological control agents effectiveness by causing changes in their life-cycle and distribution. Climate change can shift interactions of invasive weeds and biocontrol agents which may cause risks to non-target species (Simberloff 2012).

With the growing concern of climate change and weed invasions, there has been an increase in studies on climate change effects on biocontrol agents, however, our understanding of the response of these plant-herbivore interactions to the full complement of climate-driven changes are still elementary. The aim of this paper is to review the available information of climate change effects on weed biocontrol agents apart from some insight on effect on invasive weeds.

Climate change impacts on terrestrial invasive weeds

Clements and Ditommaso (2011) interpreted those rising temperatures, altered precipitation patterns, and an increase in extreme weather events will likely to facilitate the spread and proliferation of invasive weeds, allowing them to expand into new regions and become more abundant and harmful to the existing ecosystems. Rising temperatures increase growing seasons, accelerating growth and seed production, while enabling weeds to colonize in higher altitudes that were previously unfavorable (Clements and Jones 2021). *Parthenium hysterophorus*, an invasive species in Asia, Africa, and Australia, thrives in disturbed soils and regions with low rainfall. The increase in temperatures and atmospheric $CO₂$ levels has enhanced its germination and spread, particularly in the cooler regions, where it poses a significant threat to agriculture and public health due to its toxic nature and allergenic pollen (Mao *et al*. 2021).

Chromolaena odorata, native to America, has also spread into parts of Africa and Asia as a result of climate-induced changes in temperature and precipitation (Adhikari *et al*. 2023). This weed has been reported to increase its spread from South India to Central and North India through Chhattisgarh and Madhya Pradesh (Sushilkumar 2015). Likewise, *Mikania micrantha* was never encountered in Central India, but its recent occurrence in Sarni village of Betul district of Madhya Pradesh and near Talpuri lake of Durg district of Chhattisgarh is an indication of its expanding range due to climate change (Sushikkumar, personal observations).

Lantana camara, native to Central and South America, has become a major invasive species in tropical and subtropical regions, forming dense

thickets that displace native vegetation and alter fire regimes. Rising temperatures and changing rainfall patterns have accelerated its spread in Africa, Asia, and Australia, complicating biocontrol efforts by increasing its density and resilience (Dar *et al*. 2019). *Carduus nutans*, an invasive weed, has become more aggressive due to warmer temperatures and higher $CO₂$ levels. These conditions enhance its growth and seed production, increasing competition with native plants and crops, and complicating biocontrol efforts by agents like the *Rhinocyllus conicus* weevil (Keller 2019).

With the increase in temperatures, many invasive weeds may extend their growing seasons and expand their geographic ranges, moving into areas that were previously too cold. For example, earlier *Ageratina adenophora* (Crofton weed) and *Lantana camara* (Lantana) were observed to invade higher altitudes and new temperate zones but hot temperatures now support their growth and reproduction. This tendency has caused the invasion of Lantana for cooler and temperate reasons world over. Such shifts enable these species to invade new habitats and outcompete native flora adapted to cooler climates (Bradley *et al*. 2010, Hulme, 2016).

Increased $CO₂$ can increase the growth rate, biomass, and reproductive output of species like *Bidens pilosa* (black jack) and *Ipomoea purpurea* (morning glory), allowing them to replace native plants (Ziska and George 2004). Climate change in the form of droughts can benefit drought-tolerant invasive terrestrial weeds like *Chromolaena odorata* and *Parthenium hysterophorus* at the expense of native species that may not be resilient as of them (Sushilkumar 2015).

Climate change has contributed to increased fire frequencies. Lantana has been found responsible for many forest fires in India. This accelerated the spread of Lantana after forest fires in the barren land devoid of other native vegetation. Weeds like *Parthenium hysterophorus* are becoming more difficult to manage in crop fields as climate change extends their growing seasons throughout the year and enhances their resilience. These factors affect crop yields and increase the costs associated with weed management, such as herbicide applications and labor (Sushilkumar and Varshney 2009). Ziska and George (2004) opined that although some aspects of climate change may be viewed as advantageous, the rise in atmospheric $CO₂$ is not selective as it stimulates the growth of both wanted and unwanted plants.

Effects of climate change in aquatic invasive weeds

Aquatic invasive weeds spread and establishment in new areas may be altered by flood or increased drought. Rahel and Olden (2008) reported that *Cabomba caroliniana* (Carolina fanwort) and *Typha angustifolia* (narrow leaf cattail) benefitted from altered water regimes, allowing them to spread in new or expanded waterways. Similarly, *Salvinia molesta* has expanded its range from its native areas into regions such as India, Southeast Asia, and Australia, driven by rising temperatures and fluctuating water levels. This invasive fern forms dense mats on water surfaces, blocking sunlight and oxygen, which disrupts aquatic ecosystems and outcompetes native plants Expanding range of *Salvinia molesta* in water bodies from South India to central and North India is an alarming indications of climate change on the thriving abilities of this weed (Sushilkumar 2022, 2024).

Rising temperatures and changing rainfall patterns create favorable conditions for invasive aquatic weeds like water hyacinth, alligator weed, water fern to grow faster and spread more widely. *Eichhornia crassipes* (water hyacinth) thrives in warmer temperatures, which allows it to proliferate across all over the world including India. Warmer winters reduce natural die-back, enabling it to form dense mats that obstruct waterways, hinder fishing, and disrupt ecosystems (Patel 2012, Datta and Palit 2021, Sushilkumar 2022). For example, water hyacinth thrives more in South India during winter than the North India where its growing points are killed due to die-back symptoms, which reduced its growth drastically. *Najas* spp*.* and other submerged weeds have been benefited from warmer temperatures allowing it to invade new regions and persist longer in water bodies. (Sharma *et al*. 2020, Sushilkumar 2022).

Water hyacinth and *Salvinia molesta* (giant salvinia) respond positively to elevated $CO₂$ leading to rapid biomass accumulation (Gupta *et al*. 2020). $Azolla$ *pinnata* (mosquito fern), a highly $CO₂$ responsive species, showed increased biomass production in elevated $CO₂$ environments. This proliferation enables it to rapidly cover the surface of ponds and canals, significantly reducing oxygen levels and blocking sunlight, which impacts the photosynthesis of submerged plants (Gupta *et al*. 2021). *Potamogeton crispus* (curly-leaf pondweed) demonstrates accelerated growth and larger plant mass with higher $CO₂$ levels (Rajan and Mathew 2019).

Climate-induced droughts can lower water levels in rivers, lakes, and reservoirs, creating shallow, warm waters ideal for invasive submerged weeds like *Hydrilla verticillata, Potamogeton* spp*., Vallisneria* spp*. etc*. Increase and heavy rainfall lead to flooding, which aids the quick dispersal of floating invasive weeds like water hyacinth, *Pistia stratiotes,* and *Alternanthera philoxeroides* (alligator weed) through broken weed fragments and seeds over larger areas, accelerating the colonization of new water bodies and flooded plains. This spread affects agriculture, as these weeds often invade rice paddies and irrigation canals, leading to reduced crop yields and higher management costs (Singh *et al*. 2016). *Salvinia molesta* fast spread and invasion was reported in the rice field in the Bhandara district of Maharashtra state of India with the flood water of Wainganga River during rainy season of 2022 (Sushilkumar, personal observation). Farmers and Agricultural department were worried due to invasion of this aquatic weed in the rice fields.

Current biocontrol scenario of terrestrial and aquatic weeds in India

In India, biological agents, mainly insects have provided excellent biological control of prickly pear *Opuntia elatior* and *O. vulgaris* by *Dactylopius ceylonicus* and *D. opuntiae*; *Salvinia molesta* by weevil, *Cyrtobagous salviniae*; water hyacinth by weevils *Neochetina bruchi, N. eichhorniae* and mite *Orthogalumna terebrantis*; and *Parthenium hysterophorus* by chrysomelid beetle *Zygogramma bicolorata*. Some introduced bioagents did not prove success but providing partial control like of Lantana by agromyzid seed fly, *Ophiomyia Lantanae*, tingid lace bug, *Teleonemia scrupulosa* out of 9 introduced bioagents; *Chromolaena odorata* by *Pareuchaetes pseudoinsulata* and *Cecidochares connexa*; *Ageratina adenophora* by gallfly *Procecidochares utilis*; submerged aquatic weeds such as *Vallisneria* spp*.* and *Hydrilla verticillata* in fish ponds by grass carp (Sushilkumar 2024).

A tropical American rust fungus (*Puccinia spegazzinii*), collected in Trinidad, was released in India in Assam and Kerala in 2005. Initial symptoms of attack were noticed but it did not prove potential bioagent so far. Despite the rust failing to persist in the field in India and China, the potential of *P. spegazzinii* is recognized by Taiwan, Fiji where it has established and causing significant damage to *Mikania micrantha* (Sushilkumar 2024).

Currently in India, about 32 exotic biological control agents have been introduced against weeds, of which six could not be released in the field, 3 could

not be recovered after release while 23 were recovered and established. Based on established results of biological control agents, 7 are providing excellent control, 4 substantial control and 10 partial controls (Sushilkumar 2024). Maximum degree of success by classical biological control agents in India has been reported by Singh (2004) by aquatic weeds (55.5%) followed by homopterous insect pests, a type of sucking insect class (46.7%) and again terrestrial weeds (23.8%).

Effect of climate change on physiology and nutritional status of weeds vis-a-vis their natural enemies

It has been documented that biological invasions will be favoured under this new atmospheric regime (Reeves 2017), as exotic weed species are more adapted to take up and use available resources at a faster rate than native communities. Bale *et al*. (2002) examined the direct effects of climate change on insect herbivores and identified temperature as the dominant abiotic factor, which affects development, survival, range and abundance. Photoperiod is the dominant cue for the seasonal synchrony of temperate insects, but their thermal requirements may differ at different times of year. Many studies have shown that increased $CO₂$ levels in the atmosphere cause significant impacts on photosynthesis, plant productivity (Reeves 2015, Gufu *et al*. 2018) and plant-insect interactions (Cornelissen 2011). Feeding habits of insects may get affected due to changes in nutritional parameters of weeds under high $CO₂$ (Casteel *et al.* 2012). Study showed that plants including weeds grown under elevated $CO₂$ inclined to have increased rates of photosynthesis, reduced photorespiration, high C:N which cause overall decrease in plant quality with lower nutritive ratios (Zavala *et al*. 2013, Reeves 2017, Kumar *et al*. 2021). Climate change significantly affects the dynamics between invasive weeds and their biological control agents, with far-reaching implications for ecosystem management (Pyšek and Richardson 2010). In addition to altering weed distribution, climate change can also impact the physiological traits of plants, such as nutrient content and the production of chemical defenses, which make these invasive species more resilient and reduce the effectiveness of biocontrol agents (Finch *et al*. 2021). Increased carbon assimilation may reduce nutrient levels like nitrogen and phosphorus, which are responsible for reducing biocontrol effectiveness (Grutters *et al*. 2016). Increased $CO₂$ levels can boost the production of defensive compounds in invasive weeds, such as alkaloids and tannins, making them more resistant to biocontrol agents (Kaur *et al*. 2022). For example,

Carduus nutans increase lignin and carbon-based compounds under elevated $CO₂$ making it tougher and less palatable to herbivores like the *Rhinocyllus conicus* weevil. This reduces the weevil's feeding efficiency and limits its impact on the weed population (Crawley and Ross 1990). Zhang *et al*. (2016) mentioned that elevated $CO₂$ levels can alter the biochemical composition of invasive weeds, affecting their nutrient content and chemical defenses.

Climate change can alter water temperature and chemistry, affecting *Salvinia molesta* nutritional content and increasing secondary metabolites. This makes the plant less palatable to biocontrol agents like *Cyrtobagous salviniae*, reducing their feeding rates and effectiveness in controlling the weed (Wahl *et al*. 2021). Higher $CO₂$ levels can boost secondary metabolite production, such as tannins, lignins, and alkaloids, making plants more resistant to herbivores and biocontrol agents. For example, Parthenium shows increased allelopathic chemicals under elevated $CO₂$ reducing biocontrol agents' effectiveness (Mao *et al*. 2021).

Effects of climate change on biocontrol agents of terrestrial weeds

With the increase in spread and abundance of an invasive weed species into new regions, the effectiveness of biological control becomes increasingly ambiguous. In some cases, the height and growth of invasive plants may overwhelm the capacity of biocontrol agents to reduce weed populations effectively. Initially, it was found that *Zygogramma bicolorata*, a bioagent of Parthenium was suited to a moderate climate and may not establish in areas experiencing temperature below 15°C and above 35°C (Jayanth and Bali 1993). However, Sushilkumar (2012, 2014, 2015, 2022, 2024) found the effectiveness of these bioagents in many parts of India under extreme climatic conditions. Omkar *et al*. (2008) found that development was fastest with maximum survival at 27°C. They also showed that a lower temperature threshold (lowest average temperature in which, life cycle can sustain well) of 18.5°C and thermal constant (K) of 480.8 degree-day were required to complete the development.

While there are a number of studies predicting the effects of increased $CO₂$ on plant ecology (Reeves 2017), increased temperature under the same conditions has not received noteworthy attention, especially within the terrestrial environment. It is of utmost importance to ensure constant effectiveness of biological control in the light of climate change as it would be detrimental to the environment and the economy of the country to re-employ previous control measures like the use of chemicals and mechanical and manual removal to manage the weeds. Therefore, it is essential to perceive and predict precisely the response of invasive weeds and their biological control agents to climate change (Reeves 2017).

Terrestrial weed *Parthenium hysterophorus* has invaded about 35 million hectares of land in India (Sushilkumar and Varshney 2009). It has been considered a biggest threat for loss of crop productivity, biodiversity and many health problems in human beings. In spite of thr invincible attributes of Parthenium, its biological control by bioagent *Zygogramma bicolorata,* introduced from Mexico during the 1980s caused huge reduction of the weed and helped in restoration of the biodiversity. Although, it is claimed by the non-believer of biocontrol that the bioagent has done nothing to reduce the intensity of Parthenium. However, in a conservative estimate Sushilkumar (2022) estimated that *Z. bicolorata* has spread and established well in about 25 million hectares out of 35 million infested area of India, which amounts to be about 71% area. The bioagent effectiveness was recorded from low to high temperature regimes from different regions of India. This bioagent controls Parthenium at varied levels from nil to 100% during the rainy season only. Taking only 10% complete control (100%) of the weed, the saving of about Rs. 6.0 billion every year was estimated in terms of herbicides required to control it.

Climate change may indirectly affect biocontrol of weeds by the way of its direct influence on the reproduction, survival, distribution and behavior of bioagents especially insects (Sujayan and and Karuppaiah 2016). Successfully adapted and established bioagents may also get affected due to climate change. For example, feeding efficiency of *Zygogramma bicolorata* on Parthenium was reportedly decreased at the optimal temperatures above 27-30°C (Kumar et al. 2021). Changed quality of parthenium weed leaves in elevated $CO₂$ and temperature levels resulted in the increase of consumption, slower food conversion rates, increase in developmental period with reduced reproduction efficiency of *Z. bicolorata* (Kumar *et al*. 2021). Their findings indicated that the reproduction efficiency of *Z. bicolorata* is likely to be reduced as the climate changes, despite increased feeding rates exhibited by grubs and adult beetles on parthenium weed foliage. Sushilkumar *et al*. (2018) studied the effect of elevated $CO₂$ and temperature in combination and separately on the efficacy of *Z. bicolorata* on

Parthenium amidst the blackgram crop in open top chambers (OTC). They found low nitrogen content in elevated $CO₂$ foliage while carbon content was higher in elevated $CO₂$ foliage. C: N ratio was considerably higher in elevated $CO₂$ foliage. Elevated $CO₂$ foliage had higher polyphenol content too, compared to ambient $CO₂$. Mean population counts revealed maximum population (adults/plant) of *Z.* $bicolorata$ during $6th$ and $7th$ weeks in the chambers having elevated $CO₂$ alone or elevated temperature alone while it was high during $7th$ to $8th$ week in the chambers having both elevated $CO₂$ and elevated temperature, but in ambient chamber, population increased in $8th$ to $9th$ weeks. This showed that alone high temperature and high $CO₂$ induced high egg laying and early development while under combination of temperature and $CO₂$ population increased was delayed.

Chidawanyika *et al.* (2017) studied heat tolerance in biocontrol agent *Z. bicolorata* through multiple experiments. The results showed the effects of heat waves on the performance and survival of biocontrol agent, which may influence its effectiveness on *Z. bicolorata*. The authors also emphasized the importance of different methodologies when studying heat tolerance. In contrast, the sap-sucking bug *Dactylopius opuntiae* Cockerell (Dactylopiidae) had reduced fitness under elevated $CO₂$ which resulted in the target weed, *Opuntia stricta* Haw. (Haw.) (Cactaceae), taking on average three weeks longer to die than plants exposed to the same initial density of the agent at current $CO₂$ concentration (Venter *et al*. 2022). The efficacy of biocontrol of *O. stricta* in South Africa may therefore be reduced in future (Venter *et al*. 2022). The physiological impacts of agents under different climate change scenarios could have important management implications and is an understudied area of research where more effort is warranted.

The effectiveness of *Cactoblastis cactorum* (moth) as a biocontrol agent for *Opuntia* spp. depends heavily on temperature. Warmer temperatures can enhance the insect's life-cycle speed, potentially increasing its population. However, extreme heat may disrupt its reproduction and reduce survival rates, decreasing overall efficacy (Sutherst *et al.* 2007) whereas *Dactylopius ceylonicus* (cochineal insect) also exhibits temperature-dependent development. Climate-driven temperature increase can either improve its efficacy by increasing feeding rates or hinder it if temperatures exceed tolerance levels, impacting the spread and density control of *Opuntia dillenii.* The bioagent *Zygogramma*

bicolorata, is an effective biological control agent of *Parthenium hysterophorus* in India and Australia and many other countries. Adult beetles diapause in soil during December to May. As a result, there is delay in its effectiveness on the plant that reaches to flowering and seed production by the time the beetle is able to build up its population after emerging from diapause. Sushilkumar and Ray (2010) conducted a study to explore possibilities of diapause aversion by temperature regulation. They found that exposure of newly emerged adults to heat treatment of 35°C and to low temperature of 10°C could reduce diapause in *Z. bicolorata*. It was suggested to use low temperature as a medium for the storage of the mass reared beetles for a long time without having negative effect on their longevity and fecundity.

Zygogramma bicolorata (Mexican beetle) performs best in moderately dry conditions, and heavy rainfall can limit its effectiveness by reducing its activity and survival rates. Climate change-induced rainfall variability may lead to fluctuations in beetle populations, affecting its capacity to control *Parthenium* effectively (McFadyen 1992). In the Assam state of India, senior author released many thousand adults of *Zygogramma bicolorata* during 2015 to 2017, but only mild establishment of the bioagent was observed in Guwahati and no establishment was found yet (Sushilkumar 2014, 2022). Excessive rainfall and moisture were considered one of the limiting factors in this case.

Higher $CO₂$ levels may increase the growth rate of *Chromolaena odorata,* making it more challenging for *Pareuchaetes pseudoinsulata* (moth) and *Cecidochares connexa* (gall fly) to keep pace with its growth. Enhanced plant biomass under elevated $CO₂$ could demand higher agent populations to achieve similar control effects as before, reducing the per capita impact of the agents (Stiling and Cornelissen 2007). *Procecidochares utilis* (gall fly), which is used for biocontrol of *Ageratina adenophora,* may experience altered reproductive cycles under climate change. Rising temperatures may accelerate its development, potentially increasing its population in the short term but potentially leading to fewer generations over the year as reproductive timing is disrupted (Wang *et al*. 2013).

These examples illustrate the ways, climate change affects biocontrol efficacy, including shifts in temperature, water availability, and $CO₂$ levels. Adaptation strategies in biocontrol may need to account for these men-driven challenges to maintain effective control over invasive weed species in India and elsewhere.

Effects of climate change on biocontrol agents of aquatic weeds

Reproduction and development of *Cyrtobagous salviniae*, a bio-control agent of *Salvinia molesta* may get affected due to rising temperature (Allen *et al.* 2014). Decreased plant palatability of alligator weed (*Alternanthera philoxeroides*) under drought has reportedly caused reduction in population growth of its bio-agent *Agasicles hygrophila* suggesting that drought can reduce the biological control of alligator weed indirectly by interrupting plant–insect interaction (Wei *et al.* 2015). Climate change may shift interactions of invasive plants, herbivorous insects and native plants, potentially affecting biological control effcacy and non-target effects on native species.

Lu *et al*. (2015) showed how climate warming affects the impacts of a multivoltine introduced biocontrol beetle *Agasicles hygrophila*, an effective biocontrol agent of aquatic and terrestrial weed *Alternanthera philoxeroides* on the non-target native plant *Alternanthera sessilis* in China. In field surveys across a latitudinal gradient covering their full distributions, they found beetle damage on *A. sessilis* increased with rising temperature and plant life history changed from perennial to annual. Experiments showed that elevated temperature changed plant life history and increased insect overwintering, damage and impacts on seedling recruitment. These results suggest that warming can shift phenologies, increase non-target effect magnitude and increase non-target effect occurrence by beetle range expansion to additional areas where *A. sessilis* occurs. This study highlights the importance of understanding how climate change affects species interactions for future biological control of invasive species and conservation of native species. Further, they interpreted that because *A. philoxeroides* will also expand its range further North China in response to warming, and the plant tolerates cold better than *A. hygrophila*, the overall effect of biocontrol may be weak in higher latitude.

Henriksen *et al*. (2018) studied effects of elevated CO₂ on the invasive weed *Alternanthera philoxeroides* and the biocontrol beetle *Agasicles hygrophila.* The authors explored the impacts of elevated $CO₂$ on the interactions of a plant invader and its biocontrol beetle in terrestrial and flooded conditions. The results suggested that elevated $CO₂$ will have minor effects on the efficacy of this biocontrol agent

In one of the studies, Reddy *et al.* (2019) compared four biotypes of the weevil, *Neochetina eichhorniae* Warner (Coleoptera: Curculionidae), a

biocontrol agent of water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*) and found variation in tolerance to cold among populations. They suggested that the introduction of *N. eichhorniae* from Australia into northern California would result in climate matching between source and release environments and increase the distribution and densities of weevils, and by this improve biocontrol efficacy.

Spread of water hyacinth bioagent namely *Neochetina bruchi, N. eichhorniae* and mite *Orthogalumna terebrantis* has been found in water bodies all over India infested with the water hyacinth from their first release sites of Bangaluru (Karnataka state) during 1980s. This has happened due to their movement through flood water from one river to another river and water channels. Now these bioagents are common along with the water hyacinth, however, their impacts vary region to region and water bodies to water bodies under different climatic conditions (Sushilkumar 2011, 2020). Water hyacinth has become the worst aquatic weed all over India, but under North-East and Kerala situation, water hyacinth has assumed a serious problem worth to consider. Many scientists opined that bioagent *Neochetina* spp. are not effective to control the weed. However, there are spectacular success stories of biological control of water hycienth from all over India like Karnataka, Madhya Pradesh, Manipur, Uttar Pradesh, Bihar, Andhra Pradesh, Tamil Nadu states, *etc*. experiencing warmer to extreme temperature regimes (Sushilkumar, 2011, 2024). It has been proved that for successful biological control of water hyacinth, perennial nature of water bodies is essential. Biological control of water hycienth cannot be expected in rivers and running water channels where, population build-up of bioagent is impossible owing to washing away of the existing population along with weed during flood in the rainy season. This is the reason that bioagents is not effective against water hyacinth in the water channels and rivers. Intentional systematic release of the bioagents in appropriate numbers in suitable water bodies can bring spectacular success (Sushilkumar 2024).

Reductions in light may cause oxygen depletion beneath floating invasive macrophyte mats alter submerged plant, plankton, invertebrate, and vertebrate communities (Coetzee *et al*. 2014). For example, severe invasion of *Salvinia molesta* in 900 hectare reservoir in Sarni village of Betul district of Madhya Pradesh in India caused depletion of earlier dominated submerged weed *Hydrilla verticillata* and other flora and fauna especially fishes, which subsequently lead unemployment to inhabiting fisheries communities around the reservoir (Bhagitath 2024, Sushilkumar 2024). Similarly, Paper *et al*.

(2023) investigated the role of current (400 ppm) and projected (800 ppm) $CO₂$ concentration on another free-floating aquatic weed, *P. crassipes*, growth with and without two of its biocontrol agents, the leafchewing *Cornops aquaticum* Brüner (Orthoptera: Acrididae) and the phloem-feeding *Megamelus scutellaris* Berg (Hemiptera: Delphacidae). The study showed that herbivory by *C. aquaticum* was consistent across $CO₂$ conditions, but the feeding by *M. scutellaris* increased at the elevated $CO₂$ level suggesting that, at predicted elevated $CO₂$ concentrations, the successful biocontrol of *P. crassipes* might rely on phloem-feeding insects (Paper *et al.* 2023).

Baso *et al.* (2021) conducted a study to investigate the effects of elevated atmospheric $CO₂$ (800 ppm) on the biological control of four invasive aquatic weeds (*Azolla filiculoides, Salvinia molesta, Pistia stratiotes* and *Myriophyllum aquaticum* and their respective biological control agents *Stenopelmus rufinasus, Cyrtobagous salviniae, Neohydronomus affinis,* and *Lysathia* sp. in South Africa. They found an overall increase in biomass production and C:N across all species at elevated $CO₂$, both in the presence or absence of biological control, although C:N of *M. aquaticum* and biomass of *A. filiculoides* with herbivory were not consistant with this trend. Insect feeding damage was reduced by elevated CO2, except for *S. molesta*. Thus, they found different responses to $CO₂$ increase, but the general trend suggested that these species will become more challenging to manage through biological control in future.

Cyrtobagous salviniae (*Salvinia* weevil) depends on specific water conditions to effectively manage *Salvinia*. Drought conditions resulting from climate change can reduce water levels, causing habitat desiccation that negatively impacts weevil survival and reduces efficacy in weed control. Conversely, if water bodies become overly flooded, weevil populations may disperse more widely but may not be concentrated enough to control the weed effectively (Julien *et al*. 1999). Sushilkumar (2024) emphasized that for effectiveness of biocontrol agent of water hyacinth *Neochetina* spp., perennial water bodies are one of the important factors after release of bioagents. Water bodies which are dried during summer seasons may affect the pupation process of *Neochetina* spp., because pupation of weevils occur amidst the roots and if roots are anchored in the soil during drought conditions, life cycle will be hampered hence, no success in biological control of water hyacinth may be achieved.

The efficacy of *Neochetina eichhorniae* and *Neochetina bruchi* (water hyacinth weevils) is affected by climate-induced shifts in seasonal patterns. Warmer winter temperatures could disrupt weevil diapause (hibernation period), leading to fewer weevils being ready to control *Eichhornia crassipes* in spring. Moreover, warmer conditions might accelerate the growth of water hyacinth, requiring higher weevil densities to achieve similar levels of control (Tipping *et al*. 2014).

Prediction model to assess effectiveness of bioagent over the weeds in their expanding range

Van and Pichancourt (2015) used matrix models to explore the effects of a biocontrol agent *Evippe* spp. on an invasive shrub *Prosopis* spp. under different climatic conditions. The results showed that plant population dynamics are sensitive to rainfall due to changes in the longevity of the agent adults. Both biocontrol herbivory and changing climate have a strong influence on the invasive shrub and need to be

Dhileepan and Senaratne (2009) mapped the widespread distribution of *P. hysterophorus* and *Z. bicolorata* using CLIMEX modelling and suggested that besides India and Pakistan, this biocontrol agent may be released to *P. hysterophorus* invaded areas of the countries including Bangladesh, Sri Lanka and parts of Nepal. Despite extensive research on *P. hysterophorus and Z. bicolorata* in India, Australia, Africa, Pakistan, *etc*. no serious effort through modelling, other than CLIMEX (Dhileepan and Senaratne 2009, King 2008) has been made to describe the suitable climatic conditions for establishment of *Z. bicolorata* for the management of *P. hysterophorus*. These models have predicted the whole South India including Kerala, coastal areas of Odisha (20.95°N, 85.10°E), West Bengal and whole North-East region of India climatically highly suitable for the establishment of *Z. bicolorata*. However, during ground survey, *Z. bicolorata* was not even recovered from Thrissur, Kerala (10.53°N, 76.21°E); Mohanpur, West Bengal (23.66°N, 88.23°E) and Jorhat, Assam (26.75°N, 94.20°E) in spite of several releases made during 2001–2017 by Sushilkumar (2015, 2022). So far, we have recovered only negligible to moderate establishment of *Z. bicolorata* in Kozhinjampara, Kerala (10.74°N, 76.83°E); Birbhum (West Bengal) and Guwahati (Assam) through ground survey. To predict suitable sites for the establishment of *Z. bicolorata*, an attempt was made by Gharde (2019) to develop statistical models based on ground information so that favorable release sites may be identified. Models were developed using data on climatic variables like temperature, rainfall

and relative humidity of the rainy season (July to October) instead of annual mean, when the *Z. bicolorata* remains most active and influences establishment in the subsequent mild season (February to March) and next rainy season. In contrary to CLIMEX Model of King (2008) and Dhileepan and Senaratne (2009), Gharde *et al* (2019) models predict only negligible to moderate establishment of *Z. bicolorata* in Kerala, coastal areas of Odisha, West Bengal and north-east region of India. CLIMEX model on the basis of lower EI values (11–30 and 30–50) predicted Central and North India less suitable while Gharde *et al*. (2019) model predicted these areas moderately to highly suitable for establishment of *Z. bicolorata*. It was understood from the results that although rainfall and relative humidity do not play a significant role if taken individually but their interaction with minimum temperature play a substantial part to predict the establishment. The incorporating of four months climatic data of temperature, rainfall and relative humidity in this model, provide more precise information about the possible establishment of *Z. bicolorata*. Gharde *et al.* (2019) concluded that a site experiencing climate with indices values of average minimum temperature ranging 24.2–26.2°C and rainfall between 191.2–257.3 mm during July to October would be highly suitable for setting up the *Z. bicolor*ata population in the region. It was inferred from the results that sites with very high weighted average rainfall (>514 mm indices value) with MMIN are not suitable for establishment of *Z. bicolorata*. Gaharde *et al.* (2019) models might be useful to decide the most suitable sites for release and establishment of *Z. bicolorata* in India as well as in other parts of the world with similar climatic conditions. The comparative evaluation of these two prediction model based on climate variables clearly reflected that many times, for a particular biocontrol agent, annual mean climatic parameters are not suitable to fit in the predetermined climatic software to predict the suitable areas for the establishment of biocontrol agents. Prediction models for the suitable establishment of bioagent should always be tested with ground verification.

Iris pseudacorus originally from Europe has historically invaded North America, China and Japan, and more recently spread through Argentina, South Africa and Australia, where it is now a target for biological control. In this regard, climatic suitability can be used to model the potential distributions of weeds and their candidate agents, both in space and time, thus allowing to identify areas at risk of invasion and predict where agents will be able to establish long-term. Minuti *et al* (2023) modelled the present

and future (2040–2060) climatic suitability of *I. pseudacorus* and its candidate agents using the software MaxEnt. They predicted North America and eastern Asia, climatically suitable for *I. pseudacorus* but found very low suitability of its bioagent across these regions, further decreasing under future climatic conditions.

Sun (2017) studied climatic suitability like elevated temperature, $CO₂$ and high rainfall on biological control candidates for ragweed management in Europe. This modelling study compared the suitability of six biocontrol candidates with regard to their expected range overlap with the plant invader in the introduced range. The authors advocated this approach as a first cost effective prerelease assessment before more elaborate and time consuming experiments.

Future of biological control in India in context to climate change

In spite of failure of many bioagents, we should be optimistic and enthusiastic to do more introduction of known effective bioagent, which have shown promising results in suppression of weeds like water hyacinth, alligator weed, *Pistia, Parthenium, Mikania, Chromolaena etc*. in the countries of their introduction. Many of such bioagents have not been introduced yet in India, which need immediate attention. Some of these like *Listronotus setosipennis, Stobaero concinna, Buccalatrix parthenica, Epiblema strenuana, Puccinia abrupt* on *Parthenium;* a flea beetle *Agasicles hygrophila* for alligator weed *Alternanthera philoxeroides; Sameodes albiguttalis Warren (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae)* on water hyacinth*, Neohydronomous affinis (Hustache)* on *Pistia stratiotes, Heteropsylla spinulosa* (Homoptera: Psyllidae) on *Mimosa diplotricha* have been effective in controlling growth of the weed in many areas in USA and Australia (Sushilkumar 2024). Some of the suggestions are listed below:

- 1. In India, relatively little work has been done on new introduction of bioagents against weeds after 1980s. Therefore, there is a great scope of introduction of natural enemies against invasive weeds of terrestrial and aquatic situations.
- 2. Weeds like C. *odorata, A. adenoforum, M. micrantha* and *Mimosa diplotricha* have assumed serious status in forestry plantations and now spreading their tentacles to agricultural and wastelands. There is urgent need to explore the introduction of new bioagent against these weeds.
- 3. In India, there is great scope of introduction of some well proven exotic insect enemies like dipterous leaf minor *Coteomvze lanatanae* from Australia and noctuid *Neogulea esula* from Hawaii against *Lantana.*
- 4. Many alien weeds are great problems in protected forests. The problem may be reduced by release of proven bioagents under classical biological control. The authorities of protected areas such as National Parks do not give permission to release bioagents in the pretext of ban to introduce exotics in PA, while the bioagent has been introduced in the country by due permission of Government. It is also true that in due course, an introduced bioagent will reach on its suitable host inside the protected areas, without man's efforts. This need retrospection by the forest authorities to hasten the biological control process.
- 5. There are known bioagent, which have shown promising results in suppression of weeds like water hyacinth, alligator weed, *Pistia etc*. in the country of their introduction. Many of such bioagents have not been introduced yet in India, which need immediate attention. Some of these are : *Listronotus setosipennis, Smicronyx lutulentus, Stobaero concinna, Buccalatrix parthenica Epiblema strenuana Puccinia abrupta* on Parthenium; alligator weed flea beetle *Agasicles hygrophila* for alligator weed *Alternanthera philoxeroides*; *Sameodes albiguttalis* Warren (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) on water hyacinth, *Neohydronomous affinis* (Hustache), *Pistia stratiotes* , *Heteropsylla spinulosa* (Homoptera: Psyllidae) on *M. diplotricha* has been effective in controlling aquatic growth of the weed in many areas in USA.

REFRENCES

- Adak S, Mandal NA, Mukhopadhyay A, Maity PP and Sen S.2023. Current state and prediction of future global climate change and variability in terms of $CO₂$ levels and temperature, pp. 15–43. In: *Enhancing resilience of dryland agriculture under changing climate: Interdisciplinary and convergence Approaches*, Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.
- Adhikari P, Lee YH, Poudel A. *et al.* 2023. Global spatial distribution of *Chromolaena odorata* habitat under climate change: Random forest modelling of one of the 100 worst invasive alien species. *Science Reporter* **13**, 9745 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-36358-z
- Allen JL, Clusella–Trullas S and Chown SL. 2014. Thermal tolerance of *Cyrtobagous salviniae*: a biocontrol agent in a changing world. *Biological Control* **59**(3):357–366.
- Bacchin TK, Hooimeijer F and Kothuis BL. 2023. Prospects. *Journal of Delta Urbanism* **4**: 4–10. https://doi.org/ 10.59490/jdu.4.2023.7349
- Bale JS, Masters GJ, Hodkinson ID, Awmack C, Bezemer TM, Brown VK, Butterfield J, Buse A, Coulson JC, Farrar J, Good JEG, Harrington R, Hartley S, Jones TH, Lindroth RL, Press MC, Symrnioudis I, Watt, AD and Whittaker JB. 2002. Herbivory in global climate change research: Direct effects of rising temperature on insect herbivores, *Global Change Biology* **8**(1):1–16. https:// doi.org/10.1046/j.1365–2486.2002.00451.x
- Baso NC, Coetzee JA, Ripley BS, Hill MP. 2021. The effects of elevated atmospheric $CO₂$ concentration on the biological control of invasive aquatic weeds. *Aquatic Botany* **170**: 103348
- Bhaghirath. 2024. Covert killers: The notorious giant *Salvinia* weed finally finds its match in a tiny beetle, pp. 38–39. In: *Down to Earth*, 1–15 September, 2024.
- Bradley BA, Oppenheimer M, and Wilcove DS. 2009. Climate change and plant invasions: Restoration opportunities ahead? *Global Change Biology 15*(6): 1511–5211. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01824.
- Chidawanyika F, Nyamukondiwa C, Strathie L, Fischer K. 2017. Effects of thermal regimes, starvation and age on heat tolerance of the Parthenium beetle *Zygogramma bicolorata* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) following dynamic and static protocols. *PLoS one* **12**: e0169371.
- Clements David R. and TommasoAntonio Ditommaso. 2011. Climate change and weed adaptation: Can evolution of invasive plants lead to greater range expansion than forecasted? *Weed Research* **51**(3): 227–240.
- Clements D and Vanessa Jones L. 2021. Rapid evolution of invasive weeds under climate change: Present evidence and future research needs. *Frontiers in Agronomy* **3**–| https:// doi.org/10.3389/fagro.2021.664034
- Coetzee JA, Jones RW, Hill MP. 2014. Water hyacinth, *Eichhornia crassipes* (Pontederiaceae), reduces benthic macroinvertebrate diversity in a protected subtropical lake in South Africa. 2014. *Biodiversity Conservation* **23** (5): 1319–1330.
- Cornelissen T. 2011. Climate change and its effects on terrestrial insects and herbivory patterns. *Neotropical Entomology* **40**: 155–63.
- Crawley Michael J and Ross GJS. 1990. The population dynamics of plants: Philosophical Transactions. *Biological Sciences* **330**: 125–140.
- Dar JA, Subashree K, Sundarapandian S, Saikia P, Kumar A, Khare PK and Khan ML. 2019. Invasive species and their impact on tropical forests of Central India: A review, pp. 69–109. In: *Tropical Ecosystems: Structure, Functions and Challenges in the Face of Global Change (*Eds. Garkoti S, Van Bloem S, Fulé P, Semwal R). Springer, Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-8249-9_5
- Dhileepan K and Senaratne Wilmot KAD. 2009. How widespread is *Parthenium hysterophorus* and its biological control agent *Zygogramma bicolorata* in South Asia? *Weed Research* **49**: 557–562.
- Datta, D and Palit D. 2021. Invasive alien aquatic plants in Indian water bodies: Problems and management strategies. *Journal of Aquatic Plant Management* **59**:11–20.
- Finch Deborah M, Butler Jack L, Runyon Justin B, Fettig Christopher J, Kilkenny Francis F, Jose Shibu, Frankel, Susan J, Cushman, Samuel A, Cobb, Richard C, Dukes, Jeffrey S, Hicke Jeffrey A, Amelon, Sybill K. 2021. Effects of climate change on invasive species. Pp. 57– 84. In: *Invasive species in forests and rangelands of the United States: A comprehensive science synthesis for the United States forest sector*. Chapter 4. (Eds. Poland Therese M, Patel–Weynand Toral, Finch, Deborah M, Ford Miniat Chelcy, Hayes Deborah C, and Lopez Vanessa M). Heidelberg, Germany: Springer International Publishing: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-45367-1_4.
- Gharde Yogita, Sushilkumar, Sharma AR.2019. Exploring models to predict the establishment of the leaf–feeding beetle *Zygogramma bicolorata* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) for the management of Parthenium hysterophorus (Asteraceae: Heliantheae) in India. *Crop Protection* **122**: 57–62.
- Grutters BMC, Gross EM and Bakker ES. 2016. Insect herbivory on native and exotic aquatic plants: phosphorus and nitrogen drive insect growth and nutrient release. Hydrobiologia **778**: 209–220. 10.1007/s10750-015-2448-1
- Gufu Guyo D, Manea Anthony, Vorreiter Louisa. Leishman and Michelle R. 2018. Do invasive exotic and native freshwater plant species respond similarly to low additional nitrate doses?, *Aquatic Botany*, **151**: 1–8.
- Gupta A, Banerjee M and Chattopadhyay P. 2020. CO, enrichment and its impact on aquatic weeds: Implications for environmental management. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, **27**(5):12–19.
- Gupta R, Verma D and Chaturvedi S, 2021. CO, -driven growth dynamics of *Azolla pinnata:* Implications for invasive aquatic weed management. *Aquatic Botany* **65**(2): 101–109.
- Henriksen JW, Lim DS, Lu X, Ding J, Siemann E. 2018. Strong effects of hydrologic environment and weak effects of elevated CO2 on the invasive weed *Alternanthera philoxeroides* and the biocontrol beetle *Agasicles hygrophila*. *Arthropod Plant Interact* **12**: 691–700.
- Philip E. Hulme. 2016. Climate change and biological invasions: evidence, expectations, and response options. *Biological Reviews* **92**(3): 1297–1313.
- IPCC 2023. Climate Change 2023: Synthesis Report, pp 184. In: *Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* (Eds. Core Writing Team, Lee H and Romero J), IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland.
- Jayanth KP and Bali G. 1993. Temperature tolerance of *Zygogramma bicolorata* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) introduced for biological control of *Parthenium hysterophorus* (Asteraceae) in India. *Journal of Entomological Research* **17**(1): 27–34
- Julien MH, Center TD and Tipping PW. 1999. Ecological effects of water level changes on biocontrol efficacy for *Salvinia molesta*. *Aquatic Plant Management Journal* **37**(2): 15–21.
- Kaur Harmanjot, Kumar Antul, Choudhary Anuj, S Sharmahivam, Choudhary DR and Mehta Sahi. 2023. Chapter 3 – Effect of elevated CO2 on plant growth, active constituents, and production, Pp 61–77. In: P*lants and Their Interaction to Environmental Pollution,* Editor(s): Azamal Husen *et al.*,
- Keller Joseph A. 2019. *Timing matters: climate change, phenology, demography, and management of the invasive weed carduus nutans.* Ph.D thesis, The Pennsylvania State University, USA
- King H. 2008. *Thermal physiology and predicted distribution of Zygogramma bicolorata (Chrysomelidae), a promising agent for the biological control of the invasive weed Parthenium hysterophorus in South Africa*. M.Sc. thesis. Faculty of Science and Agriculture, University of KwaZulu– Natal. Kumar 2015
- Kumar L, Sushilkumar, Choudhary JS and Kumar B. 2021. Host plant mediated effects of elevated $CO₂$ and temperature on growth and developmental parameters of *Zygogramma bicolorata* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). *Bulletin of Entomological Researc*h **111**: 111–119. https:// doi.org/ 10.1017/S0007485320000395
- Kudakwashe Musengi, Siphosenkosi Mbonani and Marcus J Byrne. 2021. Host suitability of three *Opuntia* taxa for the D*actylopius opuntiae* (Hemiptera: Dactylopiidae) 'stricta' lineage, *Biocontrol Science and Technology* **31**(11): 1161– 1173. DOI: 10.1080/09583157.2021.1932747
- Lu Xinmin, Siemann Evan, Minyan He, Hui Wei, XuShao and Jianqing Ding. 2015. Climate warming increases biological control agent impact on a non–target species. *Ecology Letters* **18**: 48–56.
- Mao R, Bajwa AA and Adkins SA. 2021. superweed in the making: adaptations of *Parthenium hysterophorus* to a changing climate. A review. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.* **41**: 47. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593–021–00699–8
- McFadyen RE. 1998. Biological Control of Bugweed (Solanum mauritianum). Biocontrol News and Information.
- McFadyen RE. 1992. Biological control of *Parthenium hysterophorus* in Australia. *Biological Control Journal* **6**(1): $1 - 13$.
- Messing RH and Wright MG. 2006. Biological control of invasive species: solution or pollution? *Frontier Ecology Environment* **4**(3):132–140.
- Minuti Gianmarco, Julie A Coetzee and Iris Stiers. 2023. Contrasting effects of climate change on the invasion risk and biocontrol potential of the invasive *Iris pseudacorus* L. between Northern and Southern Hemisphere *Biological Control* **84**: 105290
- Moran VC, Hoffmann JH and Zimmermann HG. 2005. Biological control of invasive alien plants in South Africa: necessity, circumspection, and success. *Frontier Ecology Environment* **3**(2): 77–83
- Omkar, Rastogi S and Pandey P. 2008. Effect of temperature on development and immature survival of *Zygogramma bicolorata* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) under laboratory conditions. *International Journal of Tropical Insect Science* **28**:130–135.
- Paper MK, Righetti T, Raubenheimer SL, Coetzee JA, Sosa AJ and Hill MP. 2023. Effects of elevated CO2 on feeding responses of biological control agents of *Pontederia crassipes*. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*. https:/ /doi.org/10. 1111/eea.13289 *BioControl* (2024) **69**: 279– 291. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10526–023–10215–4 REVIEW
- Patel A. 2012. The spread of water hyacinth in India's rivers: Implications for management under changing climate conditions. *Water Resources Research Journal* **48**(7): 105– 111.
- Pyšek P, Richardson DM. 2010. Invasive species, environmental change and management, and health. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources* **35**: 25–55.
- Rahel FJ and Olden JD. 2008. Assessing the effects of climate change on aquatic invasive species. *Conservation Biology* **22**(3): 521–533.
- Rajan, V and Mathew J. 2019. Curly–leaf pondweed and CO, enrichment: A case study on competitive growth advantages in Indian freshwater bodies. *Environmental Management* **48**(3): 32–38.
- Raviraja S. 2023. Future climate change. *GSC Advanced Research and Reviews* **14**(01): 50–54.
- Reddy AM, Pratt PD, Hopper JV, Cibils–Stewart X, Walsh GC, Mc Kay F: Variation in cool temperature performance between populations of *Neochetina eichhorniae* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) and implications for the biological control of water hyacinth, *Eichhornia crassipes*, in a temperate climate. *Biological Control* **128**: 85–93.
- Reeves JL. 2017. Climate change effects on biological control of invasive plants by insects. *CABI Reviews* 1–8.
- Szûcs M, Schaffner U, Price WJ, Schwarzländer M. 2012. Post introduction evolution in the biological control agent *Longitarsus jacobaeae* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). *Evolution Applied* **5**: 858–868.
- Sharma R, Chauhan P and Singh M. 2020. Ecological impact of brittle waternymph in Indian water bodies under climate change scenarios. *Journal of Aquatic Biology* **34**(1): 56–63.
- Sharma, R., Gupta, M., & Singh, P. (2020). Climate change and its impact on biological control agents of *Cirsium arvense* in Northern Europe. *Agricultural and Forest Entomology* 22(2): 142–153.
- *Simberloff D. 2012. Global Invasive Species Database. IUCN/ SSC Invasive Species Specialist Group.*
- Singh SP. 2004. Some Success Stories in Classical Biological Control in India. Asian and Pacific Coconut Community, APCC.
- Singh A, Sharma R and Kumar A. 2016. Flooding as a dispersal vector for aquatic invasive species: Implications for Indian river basins. *Ecology and Evolution* **6**(12): 215–225 .
- Stiling P and Cornelissen T. 200). How does elevated CO, affect herbivores and their natural enemies? *Biological Control Journal* **13**(2): 123–132.
- Sujayanand GK and Karuppaiah V. 2016. Aftermath of climate change on insect migration: A review. *Agricultural Reviews* **37**(3): 221–227.
- Sun Y, Brönnimann O, Roderick GK, Poltavsky A, Lommen ST, Müller Schärer H: Climatic suitability ranking of biological control candidates: a biogeographic approach for ragweed management in Europe. *Ecosphere* 2017, 8:e01731. 01710.01002/ecs01732.01731.
- Sushilkumar. 2009. Biological control of *Parthenium*in India: Status and prospects. *Indian Journal of Weed Science* **41**(1&2): 1–18.
- Sushilkumar. 2011. Aquatic weeds problems and management in India. *Indian Journal of Weed Science* **43**(3&4): 118– 138,
- Sushilkumar. 2012. Current spread, impact and management of *Parthenium*weed in India. *International Parthenium News* **5:** 1–6.
- Sushilkumar. 2013. Weed problems in protected areas and prospects of their management, pp. 105–116. In: *Proceedings of National Workshop on Grassland Management in Protected Areas in India: Prospects and retrospect*.4–6 July2013, Bandhavgarh Tiger Reserve, Madhya Pradesh, Organized by State forest Research Institute, Jabalpur.
- Sushilkumar. 2014. Spread, menace and management of *Parthenium*. *Indian Journal of Weed Science* **46**(3): 205– 219.
- Sushilkumar. 2015. History, progress and prospects of classical biological control in India. *Indian Journal of Weed Science* **47**(3): 306–320.
- Sushilkumar. 2022. Biological control of Parthenium, water hyacinth and water fern: A few successes stories, pp. 71– 76. In: *Technological glimpses on weeds and their management*. (Eds. Mishra JS, Sushilkumar and Rao AN). Indian Society of Weeds Science, Jabalpur, India.
- Sushilkumar. 2024. Promising agents: Biological control is a smarter way to weed ut invaaive plant, with minimal impact on biodiversity, pp.40–41. In: *Down to Earth*. 1–15 September, 2024.
- Sushilkumar and Ray Puja. 2010. Activity enhancement of *Zygogramma bicolorata*, a biocontrol agent of *Parthenium hysterophorus*, by temperature regulated diapause aversion. *Biocontrol Science and Technology* **20**(9): 903–908.
- Sushilkumar and Varshney Jay G. 2010. Parthenium infestation and its estimated cost management in India. *Indian Journal of Weed Science* **42**(1&2): 73–77.
- Sushilkumar, Kumar Lavkush and Kumar Bhumesh. 2018. Elevated $CO₂$ and temperature linked based population dynamics and biocontrol efficiency of *Zygogramma bicolorata* Pallister (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae), p69. In: ISWS Golden Jubilee International Conference on "*Weeds and Society: Challenges and Opportunities*", ICAR– Directorate of Weed Research, Jabalpur, India during 21– 24 November 2018.
- Sutherst RW, Maywald GF and Kriticos DJ. 2007. Climex modeling of invasive species control effectiveness*. *Biological Invasions Journal* **9**(3): 83–90.
- Tipping PW, Center TD and Rohr JR .2014. Impacts of climate variability on water hyacinth biocontrol efficacy in South Asia. *Journal of Aquatic Plant Control* **52**(3): 75–81.
- Van Klinken R and Pichancourt JB. 2015. Population level consequences of herbivory, changing climate, and source– sink dynamics on a long lived invasive shrub. *Ecological Application* **25**: 2255–2270.
- Varanasi A, Prasad PVV and Jugulam M. 2015. Impact of climate change factors on weeds and herbicide efficacy. *Advances in Agronomy* **135**: 107–146.
- Venter N, Cowie BW, Byrne MJ. 2022. Prospects for the biological control of the invasive cactus, Opuntia stricta using Dactylopius opuntiae, under conditions of rising atmospheric CO2. *Biological Control* **176**:105095
- Wahl Charles F, Diaz Rodrigo and Kaller Michael. 2021. Nutrients enhance the negative impact of an invasive floating plant on water quality and a submerged macrophyte. *Journal of Aquatic Plant Management* **59**: 57–65
- Wang W, Zhang R and Li X. (2013). Effects of climate change on the life cycle of *Procecidochares utilis*. *Invasive Plant Science Journal* **14**(4): 28–36.
- Wei H, Lu X and Ding J. 2015. Direct and indirect impacts of different water regimes on the invasive plant, alligator weed (*Alternanthera philoxeroides*) and its biological control agent, *Agasicles hygrophila*. *Weed Biology and Management* **15**(1): 1–10.
- Yigermal H and Assefa F. 2019. Impact of the invasive water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*) on socio–economic attributes: A review. *Journal of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences* **4**(2): 46–56.
- Zavala Jorge A, Nabity Paul D and DeLucia Evan H. 2013. Mechanisms governing insect herbivory under elevated CO2. *Annual Review of Entomology* **58**: 79–97.
- Zhang H, Bernonville Duge deBody T, Glevarec M, Reichelt G, Unsicker M, Bruneau S, Renou M, Huguet JP, Dubreuil EG and Giron D. 2016. Leaf–mining by *Phyllonorycter blancardella* reprograms the host–leaf transcriptome to modulate phytohormones associated with nutrient mobilization and plant defense. *Journal of Insect Physiology* **84**: 114–127.
- Ziska LH and George K. 2004. Rising carbon dioxide and invasive, noxious plants: Potential threats and consequences. *World Resource Review* **16**: 427–447.