RESEARCH NOTE



Non-chemical weed management evaluation in greengram

Mansi Rana¹, Himanshu Verma^{*2} and J.P. Singh¹

Received: 7 July 2024 | Revised: 1 June 2025 | Accepted: 4 June 2025

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during *Kharif* season of 2022 at agricultural research block, School of Agricultural Sciences, Shri Guru Ram Rai University (SAS-SGRRU), Dehradun, Uttarakhand to study the effect of non-chemical weed management methods on weed growth and greengram (*Vigna radiata* L.) yield. The experiment was laid out in factorial randomized RBD comprising 2 factors replicated thrice. First factor, crop geometry comprised of 20×15 cm, 25×12 cm 30×10 cm spacing maintained between row to row and plant to plant, respectively. The second factor was non-chemical weed management treatments including: weedy check, hand weeding (HW) once at 20 days after seeding (DAS) + mulching, and hand weeding twice at 30 and 45 DAS. Crop geometry and weed management treatments significantly influenced all the growth parameters, yield attributes and yield of greengram, weed density and biomass. To improve the productivity of greengram by managing weeds effectively in the Doon valley area of Uttarakhand, hand weeding once at 20 DAS + mulching along with crop geometry of 30 cm $\times 10$ cm may be recommended, as they recorded a significant improvement in growth and yield parameters and greengram yield, compared to other treatments.

Keywords: Doon valley, Greengram, Non-chemical weeding, Mulching, Crop geometry

Greengram (Vigna radiata L.) locally known as "mung", a Kharif season pulse crop widely grown in arid and semi-arid parts of India, is one of the most significant pulse crops. It contains around 25% protein, 1.3% fat, 3.5% mineral, 4.1% fiber, and 56.7% carbohydrates. When sprouted, it also contains a notable amount of ascorbic acid and riboflavin. Despite being a staple of our daily diet, this crop's average yield is quite poor in India. Tamang et al. (2015) noted that because of their short stature, weeds severely reduce greengram's yield. Weeds constitute a severe concern since they compete for resources such as space, light, nutrients, water, and other growth inputs and lower the productivity of Kharif greengram by up to 65.4 to 96.5 %, depending on the species of weed and the level of crop weed competition (Verma et al. 2015, Dungarwal et al. 2003, Tamang et al. 2015). In addition to having low crop yields, they also harbor pests and insects that raise agricultural costs. Therefore, managing weeds at critical period is essential to improve productivity of the greengram.

The low population will also result in a lower yield. Hence, in order to get a higher yield, the ideal plant population of greengram is required (Mansoor *et al.* 2010) and higher plant population was also reported to help in suppressing weed growth. Herbicides were evaluated for their efficacy in managing weeds in greengram (Tamang *et al.* 2015, Bajiya *et al.* 2025). But studies on non-chemical weed management are limited. Hence, current study was conducted at SGRR University, Dehradun with an objective to evaluate the efficacy of non-chemical weed management methods viz. crop geometry, hand weeding and mulching in managing weeds and enhancing yield of greengram in the Western Himalayan areas of Dehradun.

A field experiment was conducted at Agriculture Research Block, School of Agricultural Sciences, Shri Guru Ram Rai University, Pathribagh, Dehradun, Uttarakhand in the *Kharif* season of 2022. The sandy loam soil of the experimental field had a pH of 7.26, was rather neutral, had a low amount of available nitrogen (225.3 kg/ha) and organic carbon (0.42%), a medium amount of available phosphorus (16.1 kg/ha) and available potassium (236.3 kg/ha). The study used a factorial randomized complete block design, with two factors at various levels and three replications.

¹ Department of Agronomy, School of Agricultural Sciences, Shri Guru Ram Rai University, Dehradun, Uttarakhand

² College of Agriculture & Environmental Technology, Surajmal University, Kichha, Uttarakhand, India

^{*} Corresponding author email: hvhimanshuverma4@gmail.com

First factor crop geometry comprised of three crop rows to row and plant to plant spacings viz. 20 $cm \times 15$ cm, 25 cm $\times 12$ cm and 30 cm $\times 10$ cm and second factor of different non- chemical weed control treatments comprising: weedy check (control), hand weeding once at 20 days after seeding (DAS) + mulching, and hand weeding twice at 30 and 45 DAS. After the experimental area was ploughed and the optimal soil moisture condition was reached, the plot was leveled with the aid of a tractor-drawn leveller and two cross harrowing operations. The sowing of greengram variety "Shikha" was done on June 20, 2022 and was harvested on September 16, 2022. Line sowing method for sowing was adopted while plant geometry varied according on the treatments. N, P and K 20:40:40 kg/ha. The wheat straw 5 t/ha was used as mulch in the experimental plot. Greengram harvesting was done manually, and after threshing, washing, and sun-drying, plot-wise weight measurements were made.

Weed density was recorded in each plot at 25DAS, 40 DAS and at harvest, using quadrat of 50×50 cm (0.25 m²) from the area marked for observations. For recording weed dry matter (weed

biomass), all the weed species within the area of quadrat were cut close to the ground surface separately and air dried first (4-5 days) and then dried in the hot air oven maintained at of 70 ± 1 °C temperature. Weed biomass at 25 DAS, 40 DAS and at greengram harvest was recorded and expressed as gram per square meter during crop season. Weed control efficiency was calculated in relation to total weed dry weight by using the recommended formula and expressed in per cent during crop season:

Effect on greengram

Growth characteristics such as crop height, number of branches, number of nodules, and dry matter production per plant were significantly impacted by varying row spacing. It was noted that the 30 ×10 cm recorded the highest greengram plant height (51.46 cm), largest number of branches (21.09 cm), maximum number of nodules (29.39 cm), and maximum dry matter accumulation (54.17 g/plant) at 75 DAS, which was substantially better than the other treatments. The elimination of intraplant competition and having better access to ground area and sunlight and nutrients may have allowed

	Crop height (cm)		No. of branches/plant			No. of nodules/plant		Dry matter production (g/plant)			
Treatment	25	50	75	25	50	75	25	50	25	50	75
	DAS*	DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS
Crop geometry											
$20 \text{ cm} \times 15 \text{ cm}$	17.35	38.12	49.18	4.45	12.15	18.48	20.33	27.88	6.23	25.11	53.04
$25 \text{ cm} \times 12 \text{ cm}$	18.07	41.00	50.23	5.33	13.59	19.44	22.44	28.38	7.28	25.99	53.52
$30 \text{ cm} \times 10 \text{ cm}$	21.00	46.48	53.46	6.69	16.40	22.09	24.98	31.39	9.61	30.88	57.17
LSD (p=0.05)	1.564	2.312	2.011	1.291	2.664	2.111	2.192	1.987	2.643	3.614	3.381
Non-chemical weed management tree	atment										
Weedy check	16.78	35.92	47.81	3.84	10.72	17.73	17.02	26.67	5.32	24.42	52.43
Hand weeding once at 20 days	21.09	46.23	51.15	6.51	16.47	22.79	25.94	31.61	9.13	29.65	56.50
after seeding (DAS) + mulching											
Hand weeding twice at 30 and 45	18.55	43.44	47.81	5.52	13.95	20.49	24.40	29.38	7.67	26.51	53.80
DAS											
LSD (p=0.05)	2.161	2.312	2.518	1.134	2.131	1.987	1.869	2.100	1.984	3.100	2.541

Table 1. Effect of crop geometry and non-chemical weed management treatments on growth attributes of greengram

Table 2. Effect of crop geometry and non-chemical weed management treatments on yield and yield contributing characters of greengram

Treatment	No. of pods/plant	No. of grains/pod	Thousand grain weight (g)	Grain yield kg/ha	Stover yield kg/ha	Harvest index
Crop geometry						
$20 \text{ cm} \times 15 \text{ cm}$	12.52	9.99	35.40	824.11	1874.7	31.79
$25 \text{ cm} \times 12 \text{ cm}$	13.54	11.70	35.83	836.45	1890.8	32.07
$30 \text{ cm} \times 10 \text{ cm}$	16.60	13.58	36.41	865.44	1924.7	33.32
LSD (p=0.05)	2.171	1.211	0.451	10.51	21.34	1.011
Non-chemical weed management treatment						
Weedy check	11.74	9.32	34.39	801.32	1864.1	31.61
Hand weeding once at 20 days after seeding						
+ Mulching	14.83	12.59	36.88	897.67	1937.1	32.67
Hand weeding twice at 30 and 45 DAS	12.10	10.35	35.36	828.33	1903.1	32.11
LSD (p=0.05)	1.637	1.371	1.192	12.36	20.98	0.511

greengram to thrive well with maximum crop height, no. of pods/plant, grains/pod, thousand grain weight, grain yield (kg/ha) and stover yield (kg/ha) at the spacing of 30 cm \times 10 cm as compared to 20 cm \times 15 cm and 25 cm \times 12 cm. The above finding is in complete agreement with Mansoor *et al.* (2010); Yadav (2004) and Rasul (2012) Foysalkabir *et al.* (2016).

Various weed control strategies significantly impacted every growth and yield-related parameter of the greengram. With hand weeding once at 25 DAS + mulching, the maximum crop height; number of branches; number of nodules; and maximum dry matter were observed. In the weedy check, the lowest values of studied parameters were observed due to intense competition by the uncontrolled weeds, which inhibited growth and development. Chaudhari (2016) and Chhodavadia (2014) also reported similar results.

Different weed control treatments had a substantial impact on grain and stover yield and harvest index. Hand weeding once at 20 DAS + mulching recorded the highest grain yield (905.9 kg/ha), stover yields (1907 kg/ha), and harvest index (32.4). The decrease in weed competitiveness with the crop during the critical crop-weed competition phase that aided in improved growth and development resulting in appreciable yield (Meena et al., 2009; (Chhodavadia *et al.* 2014). In contrast, the weedy check recorded significantly lower values of growth, yield attributes, and yield of greengram. The combined effect of spacing and weed control methods on grain yield of the greengram was also significant (**Table 3**).

Table 3. Interaction effect of crop geometry and nonchemical weed management treatments on grain yield of greengram

Treatment	Weedy check	Hand weeding once at 20 days after seeding (DAS) + Mulching	Hand weeding twice at 30 and 45 DAS		
$20 \text{ cm} \times 15 \text{ cm}$	795.0	864.3	813.0		
$25 \text{ cm} \times 12 \text{ cm}$	815.0	892.3	802.0		
$30 \text{ cm} \times 10 \text{ cm}$	790.0	936.3	870.0		
LSD (p=0.05)		18.21			

Effect on weeds

The highest total weed density and biomass was observed in weedy check, at all the dates of observation (Table 4). At 25 DAS, 40 DAS and at harvest stage, hand weeding once at 20 DAS + mulching had significantly lesser weeds density and biomass than the other weed control treatments. The results are in line with the findings of Kundra et al. (1989) and Nayak et al. (2000). However significantly higher weed density and biomass were recorded with the plant geometry of 30 cm \times 10 cm as compared to other spacing showed. Significantly higher weed control efficiency was observed with 20 \times 15 cm followed by 30 \times 10 cm & 25 \times 12 cm. Wider plant spacing often leads to higher weed infestation. This is because wider spacing provides more space for weeds to germinate, grow, and compete with the crop for resources like nutrients and sunlight as observed by Mengistu and Mekonnen (2020). While hand weeding once at 20 DAS + mulching has recorded significantly higher WCE due to lesser weed density and biomass, compared to other treatments. Nayak et al. (2000) also reported similar results.

Treatment	Total we	eed densit	ty (no./m ²)	Total w	Weed control		
	25 DAS	40 DAS	At harvest	25 DAS	40 DAS	At harvest	efficiency (%)
Crop geometry							
$20 \text{ cm} \times 15 \text{ cm}$	24.1	17.0	9.3	3.65	1.43	0.51	85.8
$25 \text{ cm} \times 12 \text{ cm}$	26.3	20.1	11.1	3.76	2.10	0.94	81.2
$30 \text{ cm} \times 10 \text{ cm}$	32.0	24.2	14.0	4.11	2.78	1.09	78.3
LSD (p=0.05)	3.24	2.19	2.94	0.61	0.50	0.12	2.36
Non-chemical weed management treatment							
Weedy check	52.0	48.2	32.2	6.67	5.10	4.18	46.2
Hand weeding once at 20 days after seeding (DAS) + mulching	14.1	9.4	7.4	2.14	1.04	0.85	89.5
Hand weeding twice at 30 and 45 DAS	21.5	19.3	13.1	4.08	3.11	0.50	85.7
LSD (p=0.05)	2.31	4.57	4.01	0.53	0.41	0.11	3.01

 Table 4. Effect of crop geometry and non-chemical weed management treatments on weed density and biomass in greengram

246

It may be concluded that hand weeding once at 20 DAS + mulching with greengram sown at the spacing of 30 cm \times 10 cm results in significantly higher greengram productivity due to effective weed management in greengram grown in Uttarakhand Doon Valley areas.

REFERENCES

- Bajiya R, Lakhran H, Danga N, Mundiyara R and Sutaliya R. 2025. Efficacy of different post-emergence herbicides against complex weed flora in greengram. *Indian Journal of Weed Science* 57(1): 62–66
- Chaudhari VD, Desai LJ, Chaudhari SN and Chaudhari PR. 2016. Effect of weed management on weeds, growth and yield of summer greengram (*Vigna radiata* L.). *The Bioscan* **11**(1): 531–534.
- Chhodavadia SK, Sagarka BK and Gohil BS. 2014. Integrated management for improved weed suppression in summer greengram (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek). *The Bioscan* **45**(2): 137–139.
- Dungarwal HS, Chalot PC and Nagda BL. 2003. Chemical weed control in mungbean (*Pheseolus radiates* L.). *Indian Journal* of Weed Science **35**(3-4): 283–284.
- Foysalkabir AKM and Md. Quamruzzaman. 2016. Effect of plant growth regulator and row spacing on yield of mungbean (Vigna radiata L.), American-Eurasian Journal Agricultural & Environmental Science 16(4): 814–819.
- Kundra HC, Singh K and Brar LS. 1989. Integrated weed management in summer moong [Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek]. PAU Agricultural Research Journal 26: 556–562.
- Mansoor M, Khan H, Ayaz M, Zubair M and Nadim MA. 2010. Effect of different planting densities on some

physiological parameters of mungbean. *Gomal University Journal of Research* **26**(2): 1–8.

- Meena DS, Baldev R and Jadon CK. 2009. Effect of Integrated weed management on growth and productivity of mungbean. *Indian Journal of Weed Science* 41:93–95.
- Mengistu T and Mekonnen G. 2020. Effect of plant spacing and weeding frequency on weed infestation, yield components and yield of mungbean [Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek] at Kosokol, Bench Sheko zone, South Western Ethiopia. International Journal of Research in Agronomy 3(2): 58– 69.
- Nayak MP, Vyas MD and Mandloi KS. 2000. Efficacy of pendimethalin in soybean (*Glycine max*). *Indian Journal* of Agronomy 45: 162–165.
- Rasul F, Cheema A, Sattar A, Saleem MF and Wahid MA. 2012. Evaluating the Performance of Three Mungbean Varieties Grown in Under Varying Inter-Row Spacing. *The Journal* of Animal & Plant Sciences 22(4):1030–1035.
- Tamang D, Nath R and Sengupta K. 2015. Effect of herbicide application on weed management in greengram [Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek]. Advances in Crop Science and Technology 3(2): 1–4.
- Verma SK, Singh SB, Meena RN, Prasad SK, Meena RS and Gaurav. 2015. A review of weed management in India: the need of new directions for sustainable agriculture. *The Bioscan* 10 (1): 253–263.
- Yadav RDS, Chaudhary RK and Kushwaha GD. 2014. Effect of sowing time, spacing and seed treatments with *Rhizobium* and Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria on seed yield, its contributing traits and seed quality parameters in mungbean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek), *Journal of Research in Agriculture and Animal Science* 2(8): 1–5.